


Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**



DA

FILE: WAC 03 054 53115 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: MAR 03 2005

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiary: [Redacted]


PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All materials have been returned  
to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be summarily dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a manufacturer and distributor of carrying bags. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a marketing analyst and to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition on the ground that the proffered position did not qualify as a specialty occupation.

On appeal the petitioner asserts that the director failed to understand the nature of its business. On the appeal form, filed March 1, 2004, the petitioner indicated that a brief and/or evidence would be submitted to the AAO within 30 days. No such brief or evidence was filed in the next 30 days, however, or at any time up to the date of the instant decision.

As specified in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), “[a]n officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.” The petitioner has not identified any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the decision. Accordingly, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.