



DA

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



Public Copy

File: WAC 99 043 51984

Office: California Service Center

Date: APR 04 2001

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



Identifying data should be
prevent clearly understood
invasion of privacy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann
Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is engaged in environmental testing, monitoring and consulting. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as an environmental analyst for a period of three years. The director determined the petitioner had not established that the job offered qualifies as a specialty occupation.

On appeal, counsel argues that the director erred in understanding and assessing the title and duties of the job description. Counsel states that a brief, which addresses the issues, will be submitted within 30 days. The petitioner made this statement on September 3, 1999.

No additional evidence has been submitted for the record. The petitioner has not stated a basis for the appeal and no additional documentation has been received for the record. The regulations at 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(1)(v) provide for summary dismissal of an appeal when the appellant fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.