



U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

*D2*

Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
ULLB, 3rd Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20536

[Redacted]

File: EAC-00-068-53196 Office: Vermont Service Center Date: 21 DEC 2001

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[Redacted]

Public Copy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,  
EXAMINATIONS

*Robert P. Wiemann*  
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the director and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The petitioner is a computer hardware and software business with 85 overseas employees and a gross annual income of \$360,000. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a systems analyst and programmer for a period of three years. The director determined the petitioner had not established that a position in a specialty occupation actually exists. The director also determined the petitioner had not submitted the appropriate filing fee.

On appeal, counsel argues that a specialty occupation exists. Counsel does not address the issue of not having submitted the appropriate filing fee.

The record indicates that the petitioner initially filed the petition on December 27, 1999, with the incorrect filing fee. The director ultimately denied the petition, in part, because the correct filing fee had not been submitted.

8 C.F.R. 103.2(a)(7)(i) states in part that:

An application or petition which is not properly signed or is submitted with the wrong filing fee shall be rejected as improperly filed. Rejected applications and petitions, and ones in which the check or other financial instrument used to pay the filing fee is subsequently returned as nonpayable will not retain a filing date.

This petition should have been rejected by the director as improperly filed. There is no provision for an appeal from an improperly filed petition.

As the record indicates that the petition was improperly filed, the petitioner's appeal will be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.