



D2

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
ULLB, 3rd Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20536



Public Copy

JUL 3 2001

File: EAC 00 014 52015 Office: Vermont Service Center Date:

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER: [Redacted]

Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,  
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner employs one person and has a gross annual income of over \$1 million. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a database administrator for a three-year period. The director determined that the petitioner had not submitted sufficient evidence to clearly establish the firm is a viable business capable of offering the beneficiary a qualifying specialty occupation position.

On appeal, counsel states that the director's decision is arbitrary and capricious, that the petitioner is a genuine business, and that the firm has an urgent need for a database administrator. Counsel argues that the offered position is a specialty occupation and the beneficiary is qualified to perform the duties of a specialty occupation.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(B), the petitioner shall submit the following with an H-1B petition involving a specialty occupation:

1. A certification from the Secretary of Labor that the petitioner has filed a labor condition application with the Secretary,
2. A statement that it will comply with the terms of the labor condition application for the duration of the alien's authorized period of stay, and
3. Evidence that the alien qualifies to perform services in the specialty occupation.

The petitioner has provided a certified labor condition application. Nevertheless, that application was certified on November 10, 1999, a date subsequent to October 16, 1999, the filing date of the visa petition. Regulations at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B)(i) provide that before filing a petition for H-1B classification in a specialty occupation, the petitioner shall obtain a certification from the Department of Labor that it has filed a labor condition application. Since this has not occurred, the petition may not be approved.

The record also shows that the director requested the petitioner to submit a copy of the company's business lease or mortgage or deed for the office from which the beneficiary would be employed. The director also requested that the petitioner submit copies of a payroll register or copies of forms W-2 or W-3 prepared for current employee(s) to establish that the company was operational as claimed. These were reasonable requests bearing directly on the

issue of the validity of the petition. As the petitioner has not provided the information requested and required for the adjudication of this petition, it may not be approved for this additional reason.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act. 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.