



U.S. Department of Justice
Immigration and Naturalization Service

D2

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



PUBLIC COPY

File: EAC 99 272 52934 Office: Vermont Service Center Date: MAR 6 2001

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER: [Redacted]

Identification data added to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner, Examinations, on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a computer consulting firm which seeks to employ the beneficiary as an "applications developer (client/server)" for a period of three years. The director noted that the documentation submitted by the petitioner all related to employment in California, Michigan and Illinois although the beneficiary would be performing services in Maryland. The director determined that the petition could not be approved because the petitioner had failed to submit evidence establishing where the beneficiary would actually be employed.

On appeal, counsel states that the beneficiary is being hired to work out of a Maryland office. Counsel explains that initially, the beneficiary will be involved in in-house web application development and assist in the technical set-up of the office. Counsel further explains that later, the beneficiary shall be assigned to work at American Medical Labs located in Virginia.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(B), the petitioner shall submit the following with an H-1B petition involving a specialty occupation:

1. A certification from the Secretary of Labor that the petitioner has filed a labor condition application with the Secretary,
2. A statement that it will comply with the terms of the labor condition application for the duration of the alien's authorized period of stay, and
3. Evidence that the alien qualifies to perform services in the specialty occupation.

The petitioner has provided a certified labor condition application and a statement that it will comply with the terms of the labor condition application. This application shows that the beneficiary would be employed for a three-year period in [REDACTED] MD."

The regulations at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(2)(i)(b) require that a petition which requires services to be performed or training to be received in more than one location must include an itinerary with the dates and locations of the services or training. There is no such itinerary in this record. Therefore the petition must be denied.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act. 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.