



U.S. Department of Justice
Immigration and Naturalization Service

DW

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



File: EAC 99 002 51087 Office: Vermont Service Center Date:

MAR - 7 2001

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

Quantifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

PUBLIC COPY

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann
Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: Approval of the nonimmigrant visa petition was revoked by the director after appropriate notice. The matter is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be sustained.

The petitioner is a software and computer consulting firm which seeks to employ the beneficiary as a programmer analyst for a period of 27 months. The director revoked approval of the petition stating:

It has now come to the attention of this Service the beneficiary has either left your company or failed to show up for work at your company.

The record does not contain any indication as the source of this information.

On appeal, counsel argues that the beneficiary is currently employed by the petitioner and that the Service was made aware of this.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides in part for nonimmigrant classification to qualified aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation. Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1184(i)(1), defines a "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The record reflects that the petitioner provided information regarding the beneficiary's employment status on July 21, 1999, in response to the notice of intent to revoke approval of the visa petition. In view of the foregoing, it is concluded that there are no statutory or regulatory grounds for revocation of the visa petition. Accordingly, the appeal will be sustained and approval of the visa petition will be affirmed.

ORDER: The appeal is sustained. The director's order is withdrawn and approval of the petition is affirmed.