



D2

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



PUBLIC COPY
NOV 2004

File: LIN 00 230 52640 Office: Nebraska Service Center

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Self-represented

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a rental firm seeks to employ the beneficiary as a housekeeper for a period of five months. The petition accompanied by a temporary labor certification from the Department of Labor. The director denied the petition because the temporary labor certification expired and the petitioner did not provide a valid one.

On appeal, the petitioner argues that it has complied with pertinent regulations.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(6)(iv)(A) requires that a petition for temporary employment in the United States be accompanied by a temporary labor certification from the Department of Labor, or notice detailing the reasons why such certification cannot be made.

The petitioner submitted the temporary labor certification which was valid for the period June 28, 2000 through November 30, 2000. In response to a request for evidence sent to the petitioner dated September 19, 2000, the petitioner requested that the dates for the period of employment be extended inasmuch as the period of time certified by the Department of Labor had elapsed. The granting of temporary labor certification is the sole responsibility of the Department of Labor. The temporary labor certification has clearly expired. As the Service may only approve the petition for the period of time listed on the labor certification application and certified by the Department of Labor, this petition may not be approved.

In addition, Matter of Artee Corporation, 18 I&N Dec. 366 (Comm. 1982), specified that the test for determining whether an alien is coming "temporarily" to the United States to "perform temporary services or labor" is whether the need for the duties to be performed is temporary. It is the nature of the need, not the nature of the duties that is controlling. In this proceeding, the petitioner has not established that its need is temporary. The petitioner is a rental firm. It has employed housekeepers in the past and will employ them in the future. Accordingly, the petition may not be approved.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden. Accordingly, the decision of the director will not be disturbed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.