




D2

U.S. Department of Justice  
Immigration and Naturalization Service

Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
ULLB, 3rd Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20536



File: EAC 00 068 53222 Office: Vermont Service Center Date: 15 FEB 2002

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER: [Redacted]

EXHIBIT COPY

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,  
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a "computer hardware & software house & factory." It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a systems analyst for three years. The director determined that the petitioner had not submitted sufficient evidence to clearly establish the firm is a viable business capable of offering the beneficiary a qualifying specialty occupation position.

On appeal, counsel submits an academic evaluation of the credentials of the beneficiary and argues that the petitioner is a viable company and has provided a bona fide job offer to the beneficiary.

The record shows that the director requested the petitioner to submit a copy of the company's business lease or mortgage or deed for the office from which the beneficiary would be employed. The director also requested that the petitioner submit copies of a payroll register or copies of forms W-2 or W-3 prepared for current employee(s) to establish that the company was operational as claimed. These were reasonable requests bearing directly on the issue of the validity of the petition. As the petitioner has not provided the information requested and required for the adjudication of this petition, it may not be approved.

Counsel argues that this petition should be approved in view of the approval of other petitions in the past. This Service is not required to approve applications or petitions where eligibility has not been demonstrated. The cases cited by counsel have no precedential effect in this proceeding. See: 8 C.F.R. 103.3(c).

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act. 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.