



D2

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



08 JUL 2002

File: EAC-01-084-52714 Office: Vermont Service Center Date:

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:
[Redacted]

Public Copy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the director and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The decision of the director will be withdrawn and the petition will be remanded for further consideration.

The petitioner is a business engaged in the manufacturing and distribution of textiles and garments. It has nine employees and a stated gross annual income of \$5.3 million. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a financial manager for a period of three years. The director determined the petitioner had not established that the proffered position is a specialty occupation.

On appeal, counsel submits a brief.

The term "specialty occupation" is defined at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

an occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The director denied the petition because of the determination that the duties of the proffered position were not characteristic of a specialty occupation, but rather paralleled those of a bookkeeper or financial secretary. On appeal, counsel argues in part that a review of the Department of Labor's Occupational Outlook Handbook, (Handbook), finds that the position of financial manager is a specialty occupation.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

1. A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
2. The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;

3. The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or

4. The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

The duties of the beneficiary in the proffered position are described in pertinent part as follows:

...will be responsible for managing and directing our financial and accounting activities and the preparation of budgets, preparing financial reports required by management, advising management on desirable operational adjustments, arranging for audits of customer accounts, and directing the financial planning, procurement, and investment of sales revenues for our company. He will supervise and direct the preparation of reports which summarize and forecast the company's business activity and the financial position of the company in the areas of income, expenses, and earnings, based on past, present, and expected operations. He will establish and recommend to management the major economic objectives and policies for the company.

In these proceedings, the duties of the position are dispositive and not the job title. The proffered position appears to be that of a financial manager. A review of the DOL's Handbook, 2002-2003 edition, finds that the usual requirement for employment as a financial manager is a bachelor's degree in finance, accounting, economics, or business administration, although employers increasingly seek applicants with a master's degree in such fields as business administration, economics, finance, or risk management. In view of the foregoing, it is concluded that the petitioner has demonstrated that the proffered position is a specialty occupation within the meaning of regulations.

The director has not determined whether the beneficiary qualifies to perform services in a specialty occupation. It is noted that the record does not contain an evaluation of the beneficiary's work experience from an official who has authority to grant college-level credit for training and/or experience in the specialty at an accredited college or university which has a program for granting such credit based on an individual's training and/or work experience.

Accordingly, the matter will be remanded to the director to make such a determination and to review all relevant issues. The director may request any additional evidence deemed necessary. The petitioner may also provide additional documentation within a

reasonable period to be determined by the director. Upon receipt of all evidence and representations, the director will enter a new decision.

ORDER: The decision of the director is withdrawn. The matter is remanded for further action and consideration consistent with the above discussion and entry of a new decision which, if adverse to the petitioner, is to be certified to the Associate Commissioner for review.