



DA

U.S. Department of Justice  
Immigration and Naturalization Service

Administrative Case 01-159-51945  
Prevent Clearly Identifiable  
Violation of Personal Privacy

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
U.L.B., 3rd Floor  
Washington, D. C. 20536



File: SRC 01 159 51945 Office: Texas Service Center Date: MAY 15 2002

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, & U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



PUBLIC COPY

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,  
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the director and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a wireless technology firm which seeks to employ the beneficiary as its international sales director for a period of three years. The director denied the petition because the petitioner provided a certified labor condition application for a software developer rather than for a sales director.

On appeal, counsel has not responded specifically to the grounds stated for denial. Counsel had indicated a brief would be submitted in support of the appeal. In order to ensure fair consideration of all available material, counsel was afforded an opportunity to furnish a brief or any additional material. To date, no brief or further evidence has been entered into the record of proceeding.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 103(a)(1)(v), an officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. The petitioner has not identified specifically any erroneous conclusions of law or statements of fact. Accordingly, the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.