



*DR*

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
ULLB, 3rd Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20536

[REDACTED]

File: WAC-01-141-53128 Office: California Service Center

Date: SEP 24 2002

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:

[REDACTED]

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[REDACTED]

**PUBLIC COPY**

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,  
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the director and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The decision of the director will be withdrawn and the petition will be remanded for further consideration.

The petitioner is a business solutions provider with ten employees and a gross annual income of \$1 million. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a test engineer for a period of three years. The director determined the petitioner, as the beneficiary's agent, had not provided employment contracts including a complete itinerary of services to be performed by the beneficiary. The director also determined that, without such contracts, the Service was unable to determine whether the petitioner had complied with the terms of the labor condition application.

On appeal, counsel submits an agency service agreement.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides in part for nonimmigrant classification to qualified aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation. Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1184(i)(1), defines a "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(B), the petitioner shall submit the following with an H-1B petition involving a specialty occupation:

1. A certification from the Secretary of Labor that the petitioner has filed a labor condition application with the Secretary,
2. A statement that it will comply with the terms of the labor condition application for the duration of the alien's authorized period of stay,
3. Evidence that the alien qualifies to perform services in the specialty occupation . . .

The petitioner has provided a certified labor condition application and a statement that it will comply with the terms of the labor condition application.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(2)(i)(F), *Agents as petitioners*, states:

A United States agent may file a petition in cases involving workers who are traditionally self-employed or workers who use agents to arrange short-term employment on their behalf with numerous employers, and in cases where a foreign employer authorizes the agent to act on its behalf. A United States agent may be: the actual employer of the beneficiary, the representative of both the employer and the beneficiary, or, a person or entity authorized by the employer to act for, in place of, the employer as its agent. A petition filed by a United States agent is subject to the following conditions;

(1) An agent performing the function of an employer must guarantee the wages and other terms and conditions of employment by contractual agreement with the beneficiary or beneficiaries of the petition. The agent/employer must also provide an itinerary of definite employment and information on any other services planned for the period of time requested.

(2) A person or company in business as an agent may file the H petition involving multiple employers as the representative of both the employers and the beneficiary or beneficiaries if the supporting documentation includes a complete itinerary of services or engagements. The itinerary shall specify the dates of each service or engagement, the names and addresses of the actual employers, and the names and addresses of the establishment, venues, or locations where the services will be performed. In questionable cases, a contract between the employers and the beneficiary or beneficiaries may be required. The burden is on the agent to explain the terms and conditions of the employment and to provide any required documentation.

(3) A foreign employer, who, through a United States agent, files a petition for an H nonimmigrant alien is responsible for complying with all of the employer sanctions provisions of section 274A of the Act and 8 CFR part 274a.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(ii) states, in part, that:

*United States employer* means a person, firm, corporation, contractor, or other association, or organization in the United States which:

- (1) Engages a person to work within the United States;
- (2) Has an employer-employee relationship with respect to employees under this part, as indicated by the fact that it

may hire, pay, fire, supervise, or otherwise control the work of any such employee; and

(3) Has an Internal Revenue Service Tax identification number.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(2)(i)(B) states, in part, as follows:

A petition which requires services to be performed or training to be received in more than one location must include an itinerary with the dates and locations of the services or training . . .

8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iv)(B) states, in part, that an H-1B petition involving a specialty occupation shall be accompanied by:

Copies of any written contracts between the petitioner and beneficiary, or a summary of the terms of the oral agreement under which the beneficiary will be employed, if there is no written contract.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(9)(i) states in part that the director shall consider all the evidence submitted *and such other evidence as he or she may independently require to assist his or her adjudication.* (Emphasis added.)

Further, in a Service memorandum entitled "Supporting Documentation for H-1B Petitions," dated November 13, 1995, it states as follows:

Requests for contracts should be made only in those cases where the officer can articulate a specific need for such documentation."

The record contains, in part, the following:

\* Agency Service Agreement dated January 2, 2001, between the petitioner and Compu-Net Systems Solutions;

\* Contract of Employment between petitioner and beneficiary signed on February 21, 2001.

The record contains a summary of the terms of employment indicating that the petitioner has hired the beneficiary and will pay the beneficiary's salary. Even though the petitioner has provided a description of the beneficiary's proposed duties, as with employment agencies as petitioners, the Service must examine the ultimate employment of the alien, and determine whether the position qualifies as a specialty occupation. Cf. Defensor v. Meissner, 201 F.3d 384 (5th Cir. 2000). The critical element is not whether the petitioner is an employer or an agent, but whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the

attainment of a bachelor's degree in the specific specialty as the minimum for entry into the occupation as required by the Act.<sup>1</sup> To interpret the regulations any other way would lead to absurd results: if the Service was limited to reviewing a petitioner's self-imposed employment requirements, then any alien with a bachelor's degree could be brought into the United States to perform a menial, non-professional, or an otherwise non-specialty occupation, so long as the employer required all such employees to have bachelor's degrees. See id. at 388.

In this case, although the record contains an agency service agreement between the petitioner and Compu-Net Systems Solutions, where the beneficiary will work, the record does not contain a comprehensive description of the beneficiary's proposed duties from an authorized representative of Compu-Net Systems Solutions. Without such a description, the petitioner has not demonstrated that the work that the beneficiary will perform at Compu-Net Systems Solutions will qualify as a specialty occupation.

The director has not determined whether the proffered position is a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the matter will be remanded to the director to make such a determination and to review all relevant issues. The director may request any additional evidence he deems necessary. The petitioner may also provide additional documentation within a reasonable period to be determined by the director. The director previously requested a contract and itinerary, but what is needed is a comprehensive description of the duties that the beneficiary will be assigned by the end user of the beneficiary's services--Compu-Net Systems Solutions. A piece of paper on which someone writes "contract" or "itinerary" that does not contain that information is of no evidentiary value in assessing whether the duties to be performed by the beneficiary will be qualifying. Upon receipt of all evidence and representations, the director will enter a new decision.

**ORDER:** The decision of the director is withdrawn. The matter is remanded to him for further action and consideration consistent with the above discussion and entry of a new decision which, if adverse to the petitioner, is to be certified to the Associate Commissioner for review.

---

<sup>1</sup> The court in Defensor v. Meissner observed that the four criteria at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) present certain ambiguities when compared to the statutory definition, and "might also be read as merely an additional requirement that a position must meet, in addition to the statutory and regulatory definition." Supra at 387.