

PUBLIC COPY


U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

Identifying data limited to  
prevent unauthorized disclosure  
invasion of privacy

DA

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
BCIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F  
Washington, D. C. 20536



AUG 15 2003

File: WAC-02-026-52927

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:




INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the director and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner provides oxygen services and other respiratory equipment to skilled nursing facilities. It has nine employees and a gross annual income of \$606,600. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a reimbursement and billing supervisor for a period of three years. The director determined the petitioner had not established that the proffered position is a specialty occupation or that the beneficiary is qualified to perform the duties of a specialty occupation.

On appeal, counsel submits a brief.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides in part for nonimmigrant classification to qualified aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation. Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines a "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to section 214(i)(2) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(2), to qualify as an alien coming to perform services in a specialty occupation the beneficiary must hold full state licensure to practice in the occupation, if such licensure is required to practice in the occupation. In addition, the beneficiary must have completed the degree required for the occupation, or have experience in the specialty equivalent to the completion of such degree and recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions relating to the specialty.

The director denied the petition because the petitioner had not demonstrated that a baccalaureate degree is required for the proffered position. On appeal, counsel states, in part, that the proposed billing duties are so complex that a baccalaureate degree in accounting or an equivalent thereof is required. Counsel further states that the beneficiary's educational background and/or employment experience qualifies her for the proffered position.

Counsel's statement on appeal is not persuasive. The Bureau does not use a title, by itself, when determining whether a particular

job qualifies as a specialty occupation. The specific duties of the offered position combined with the nature of the petitioning entity's business operations are factors that the Bureau considers. In the initial I-129 petition, the petitioner described the duties of the offered position as follows:

The position we are offering [the beneficiary] is that of our Billing & Reimbursement Supervisor. In this position she would analyze reimbursement status of primary and secondary medical insurance claims, and prepare reports documenting all such transactions accounting to facilities, hospices, Medi-Cal and other insurances, by applying and relying on general principles of accounting. She would use computer system [sic] to detail claims, denials, outstanding bills and Medi-Cal pending patients. Her job would also include auditing claims inquiries by tracking all denials on a monthly basis and generating corrections or ensuring the submission of bills to the appropriate entities. We would expect her to prepare reports for management concerning audit results, billing situation and reimbursement sources. She would be responsible for 2 billing clerks, who would track denials, secondary insurance information and pending clients and would report to her so she could prepare quarterly financial reports.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

1. A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
2. The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
3. The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
4. The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the

duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

The petitioner has not met any of the above requirements to classify the offered position as a specialty occupation.

First, the Bureau does not agree with counsel's assertion that the beneficiary is a "corporate accountant," an occupation that would normally require a bachelor's degree in accounting or a related field. In its *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)*, 2002-2003 edition, at page 21, the Department of Labor (DOL) describes the job of a management accountant as follows:

*Management accountants* - also called industrial, corporate or private accountants - record and analyze the financial information of the companies for which they work. . . . Usually, management accountants are part of executive teams involved in strategic planning or new-product development. . . . They also prepare financial reports for non-management groups, including stockholders, creditors, regulatory agencies, and tax authorities.

The record reflects that the petitioner, which provides oxygen services and other respiratory equipment to skilled nursing facilities, employs nine persons and has a gross annual income of \$606,600. The business in which the beneficiary is to be employed does not require the services of a corporate accountant who is part of an executive decision-making team. Furthermore, there is no evidence that the position offered includes complex or advanced accounting duties such as the preparation of detailed financial reports for outside agencies or corporate stockholders, or that the position requires an individual with a knowledge of sophisticated accounting techniques normally associated with the duties of a corporate accountant.

The duties that the petitioner endeavors to have the beneficiary perform are the financial transaction reporting duties, which are similar to the duties that a bookkeeper or accounting clerk would execute in a small business establishment. In contrast to the description of an accountant, at page 390 of the *Handbook*, the DOL describes the positions of a bookkeeper and accounting clerk as follows:

In small establishments, *bookkeeping clerks* handle all financial transactions and recordkeeping. . . . More advanced accounting clerks may total, balance, and

reconcile billing vouchers; ensure completeness and accuracy of data on accounts; and code documents, according to company procedures.

The types of duties the petitioner ascribes to the beneficiary fall within the scope of a bookkeeping or accounting clerk position rather than a management accounting position. For example, the petitioner states that the beneficiary would "analyze reimbursement status of primary and secondary medical insurance claims, and prepare reports documenting all such transactions accounting to facilities, hospices, Medi-Cal and other insurances, by applying and relying on general principles of accounting." Such bookkeeping duties are not duties normally associated with a corporate accountant. According to the DOL at pages 387-388 of the *Handbook*, the usual requirement for a bookkeeping or accounting clerk is a high school diploma or its equivalent. A higher level of training is favored but not required, and such training is available in community colleges or schools of business. Furthermore, a search of the website: [www.medhunter.com/jobs/billclerksuper.html](http://www.medhunter.com/jobs/billclerksuper.html), reveals five job openings for billing clerk supervisors for two hospitals, a medical center, and two university health systems. None of the five positions requires a baccalaureate degree in specific specialty, and two of the five advertisements specified the educational requirement of a high school diploma. In view of the foregoing, the petitioner has not shown that a bachelor's degree or its equivalent is required for the position being offered to the beneficiary.

Second, the petitioner has not shown that it has, in the past, required the services of individuals with baccalaureate or higher degrees in a specific specialty such as accounting, for the offered position. Third, the petitioner did not present any persuasive documentary evidence that a baccalaureate degree in a specific specialty or its equivalent is common to the industry in parallel positions among organizations similar to the petitioner. The letters from the assistant administrator of Pacific Haven Healthcare Center and from the director of Companion Hospice are noted. Both writers say that they require their billing supervisors to hold a Bachelor of Arts degree; neither writer, however, specifies a baccalaureate degree in a specific field of study. Finally, the petitioner did not demonstrate that the nature of the beneficiary's proposed duties is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

The petitioner has failed to establish that any of the four factors enumerated above are present in this proceeding. Accordingly, it is concluded that the petitioner has not demonstrated that the offered position is a specialty occupation within the meaning of the regulations.

As the petitioner has not sufficiently established that the proffered position is a specialty occupation, the beneficiary's qualifications need not be examined further in this proceeding.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.