



Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy U.S. Department of Justice
Immigration and Naturalization Service

PUBLIC COPY

DA

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



JAN 8 2003

File: LIN-01-203-55973 Office: Nebraska Service Center Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the director and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be sustained.

The petitioner is a private country club with 63 employees and a gross annual income of \$4.1 million. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a clubhouse manager for a period of three years. The director determined the petitioner had not established that the proffered position is a specialty occupation.

On appeal, counsel submits a brief.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides in part for nonimmigrant classification to qualified aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation. Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1184(i)(1), defines a "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to section 214(i)(2) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1184(i)(2), to qualify as an alien coming to perform services in a specialty occupation the beneficiary must hold full state licensure to practice in the occupation, if such licensure is required to practice in the occupation. In addition, the beneficiary must have completed the degree required for the occupation, or have experience in the specialty equivalent to the completion of such degree and recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions relating to the specialty.

The director denied the petition because the duties described by the petitioner appeared to relate to the job of a restaurant manager, a position that does not qualify as a specialty occupation. On appeal, counsel states, in part, that the petitioner normally requires a baccalaureate degree in hospitality management or an equivalent thereof. Counsel also states that in its support letter, the petitioner explained that both of its two previous employees who held the clubhouse manager position held a related baccalaureate degree or an equivalent thereof.

The term "specialty occupation" is defined at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

an occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical

sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h) (4) (iii) (A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

1. A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
2. The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
3. The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
4. The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

In the initial I-129 petition, the petitioner described the duties of the offered position as follows:

He will be responsible for devising strategies and formulating policies to ensure the profitability of our clubhouse and restaurant. He will direct the operations of the clubhouse, which includes our restaurant, banquet facilities, and pool area.

[The beneficiary] will report to our General Manager and Chief Operating Officer . . . [The beneficiary] will have broad discretion and responsibility to ensure that clubhouse operations are in accordance with the country club's goals and policies.

Specifically, [the beneficiary] will be responsible for the daily operations of the clubhouse, restaurant and food services as well as human resource responsibilities. He will oversee purchasing, training, and quality control. He will also have the ability to hire and fire employees when needed. He will direct food operations of the clubhouse, including analyzing food, labor, and overhead costs. He will also supervise the kitchen,

dining room, and banquet meeting facilities. He will coordinate the food service operations and facility operations for our black tie events, weddings, and other special engagements. He may also be required to investigate and resolve customer complaints.

The proffered position appears to be primarily that of a food service manager. A review of the Department of Labor's Occupational Outlook Handbook, 2002-2003 edition, at pages 56-57, finds that most food service management companies and national or regional restaurant chains recruit management trainees from 2 and 4-year college hospitality management programs.

It is noted that not all food service manager positions may be considered specialty occupations. Each position must be evaluated based upon the nature and complexity of the actual duties. In this instance, the duties are of such complexity as to require a baccalaureate degree in a specialized and related area. In view of the foregoing, it is concluded that the petitioner has demonstrated that the proffered position is a specialty occupation within the meaning of regulations.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has sustained that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be sustained and the petition will be approved.

ORDER: The appeal is sustained. The director's order is withdrawn and the petition is approved.