

**PUBLIC COPY**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

to  
identify  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE  
425 Eye Street, N.W.  
BCIS, AAO, 20 MASS, 3/F  
Washington, DC 20536



DJ

File: LIN 00 089 51306 Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER Date: **JUL 18 2003**

IN RE: Petitioner:   
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is an Illinois tennis club with 20 employees and an estimated gross annual income of \$300,000. It seeks to temporarily employ the beneficiary as a professional tennis instructor for a period of three years. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the proffered position was a specialty occupation.

With regard to appeals procedures, 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states that an officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

While counsel expresses disagreement with the decision of the director, and states that the Bureau failed to properly apply the definition of specialty occupation, he provides no evidence to support this assertion. In addition, he fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. Although counsel states on the Form I-290B that he is submitting a brief and/or further evidence for the record with regard to the instant petition, no such additional evidence is found in the record.

On appeal, the petitioner fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact. As the petitioner has provided no additional evidence on appeal to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proof remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, that burden has not been met. In accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.