U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20529

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

D2

[redacted]

FILE: WAC 03 063 52906 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: AUG 12 2004

IN RE: Petitioner: [redacted]
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a corporation engaged in the business of providing personnel to perform property management, maintenance, and construction services. In order to employ the beneficiary as a mechanical engineer, the petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b). The director denied the petition on two independent grounds, namely, that the petitioner had failed to establish that (1) the petitioner is an United States employer as defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214(h)(4)(ii), and (2) the proffered position meets the definition of a specialty occupation as set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

On March 1, 2004, counsel submitted a Form I-290B (Notice of Appeal) without a brief or evidence. Although counsel entered a check mark at the box at section 2 of the Form I-290B which indicates that he would send a brief and/or evidence within 30 days, the AAO has received neither. Accordingly, the AAO deems the record complete and ready for adjudication.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

On the Form I-290B, which is the only document which has been submitted on appeal, counsel provided only this general and conclusory statement about the basis of the appeal:

> [Citizenship and Immigration Services] erred in its finding that the proposed duties to be performed by [the] beneficiary are not duties of a specialty occupation.

Counsel fails to specify how the director made any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in denying the petition. As neither the petitioner nor counsel presents additional evidence on appeal to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.