



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

DZ



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director of the California Service center denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a producer of websites on the Internet that seeks to employ the beneficiary as an executive producer. The director denied the petition on the basis that the proffered position did not meet the definition of a specialty occupation and the beneficiary was not qualified to perform the duties of the position.

Counsel submitted a timely Form I-290B on December 22, 2003 and indicated that a brief and/or additional evidence would be submitted to the AAO within 60 days. As of this date, however, the AAO has not received any additional evidence into the record. Therefore, the record is complete.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

On the Form I-290B, counsel fails to state with any specificity how the director made any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in denying the petition. Counsel merely stated that the decision was contrary to the law and regulations; the expert testimony cannot be arbitrarily ignored; and the decision was arbitrary and capricious and internally inconsistent. As neither the petitioner nor counsel presents additional evidence on appeal to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 § C.F.R. 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.