



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



FILE: WAC 04 054 51286 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: 04/15/2014

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal shall be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a restaurant that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a chef in Eritrean cuisine. The petitioner, therefore, endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b). The director determined that the petitioner had not submitted evidence crucial to clearly substantiate the information provided on Form I-129. According to the director, the evidence that was not submitted included evidence pertaining to the proffered position, to the beneficiary's qualifications, and to whether the petitioner is a valid business entity and employer. The director cited to 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b) in denying the petition.

Counsel submitted a timely Form I-290B on May 17, 2004, and indicated that a brief and/or evidence would be submitted to the AAO within 30 days. As of this date, however, the AAO has not received any additional evidence into the record. Therefore, the record is complete.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v). On the Form I-290B, the petitioner states that it has all the proof that the director required, and that it only needed thirty additional days to submit the information to the AAO. The petitioner does not specify how the director made any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in denying the petition. As the petitioner has presented no additional evidence to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.