


**PUBLIC COPY**

**Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Citizenship and Immigration Services

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE  
CIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F  
425 Eye Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20536



FILE: WAC 02 117 50255 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

JAN 06 2004

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:




INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a rehabilitation care provider. It employs 89 people and has a gross annual income of \$3,500,000. It seeks to temporarily employ the beneficiary as an accountant. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary is qualified for the proffered specialty occupation.

On appeal, counsel submits a brief and other evidence, and asserts, in part, that the director erred in determining that the beneficiary is not qualified for the position.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C), to qualify to perform services in a specialty occupation, the alien must meet one of the following criteria:

1. Hold a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;
2. Hold a foreign degree determined to be equivalent to a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;
3. Hold an unrestricted State license, registration, or certification which authorizes him or her to fully practice the specialty occupation and be immediately engaged in that specialty in the state of intended employment; or
4. Have education, specialized training, and/or progressively responsible experience that is equivalent to completion of a United States baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty occupation and have recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions directly related to the specialty.

The first issue to be considered is whether the beneficiary meets any of the criteria listed in 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C). As the proffered position is an accountant, the beneficiary must possess a baccalaureate degree, or its equivalent, in accounting or a related field.

1. Hold a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university.

The beneficiary attended the [REDACTED] School of Business Administration in the [REDACTED] and does not hold a U.S. degree; thus, the beneficiary does not meet this criterion.

2. Hold a foreign degree determined to be equivalent to a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university.

The proffered position is as an accountant. The beneficiary studied business administration; however, there is no evidence on the record that the beneficiary holds a foreign degree.

3. Hold an unrestricted State license, registration, or certification which authorizes him or her to fully practice the specialty occupation and be immediately engaged in that specialty in the state of intended employment.

This occupation, unlike a certified public accountant, does not require a State license, registration, or certification.

4. Have education, specialized training, and/or progressively responsible experience that is equivalent to completion of a United States baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty occupation and have recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions directly related to the specialty.

This is the only criterion that the beneficiary could possibly meet. In considering whether the beneficiary qualifies under this category by virtue of his education, practical experience, and/or specialized training, 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D) states:

[E]quivalence to completion of a United States baccalaureate or higher degree shall mean achievement of a level of knowledge, competence, and practice in the specialty occupation that has been determined to be equal to that of an individual who has a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty and shall be determined by one or more of the following:

(1) An evaluation from an official who has authority to grant college-level credit for training and/or experience in the specialty at an

accredited college or university which has a program for granting such credit based on an individual's training and/or work experience.

(2) The results of recognized college-level equivalency examinations or special credit programs, such as the College Level Examination Program (CLEP), or Program on Noncollegiate Sponsored Instruction (PONSI);

(3) An evaluation of education by a reliable credentials evaluation service which specializes in evaluating foreign educational credentials;

(4) Evidence of certification or registration from a nationally-recognized professional association or society for the specialty that is known to grant certification or registration to persons in the occupational specialty who have achieved a certain level of competence in the specialty;

(5) A determination by the Service that the equivalent of the degree required by the specialty occupation has been acquired through a combination of education, specialized training, and/or work experience in areas related to the specialty and that the alien has achieved recognition of expertise in the specialty occupation as a result of such training and experience. For purposes of determining equivalency to a baccalaureate degree in the specialty, three years of specialized training and/or work experience must be demonstrated for each year of college level training the alien lacks. . . . It must be clearly demonstrated that the alien's training and/or work experience included the theoretical and practical application of specialized knowledge required by the specialty occupation; that the alien's experience was gained while working with peers, supervisors, or subordinates who have a degree or its equivalent in the specialty occupation; and that the alien has recognition of expertise in the specialty evidenced by at least one type of documentation such as:

- (i) Recognition of expertise in the specialty occupation by at least two recognized authorities in the same specialty occupation;
- (ii) Membership in a recognized foreign or United States association or society in the specialty occupation;
- (iii) Published material by or about the alien in professional publications, trade journals, books, or major newspapers;
- (iv) Licensure or registration to practice the specialty occupation in a foreign country; or
- (v) Achievements which a recognized authority has determined to be significant contributions to the field of the specialty occupation.

Counsel asserts that the educational evaluation on the record establishes the beneficiary's qualifications. Counsel also refers to an employment certificate and the beneficiary's resume, as well as letters from two former colleagues of the beneficiary's, and finally a letter written by a certified public accountant (CPA), who states that the beneficiary's accounting skills and qualifications are equal to those of a U.S. CPA.

It is noted that the evaluation report prepared by Morningside Evaluations and Consulting does not meet the regulatory standards for determining equivalency. The evaluation purports to determine that the beneficiary has the equivalent of a bachelor's degree in accounting as a result of his education, professional training and employment experience. Morningside is not qualified to prepare an evaluation of this sort as it does not "[Have] authority to grant college-level credit for training and/or experience in the specialty at an accredited college or university which has a program for granting such credit based on an individual's training and/or work experience" as required by the regulation. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(1).

Morningside is qualified to provide an evaluation of the beneficiary's foreign degree pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(3): "An evaluation of education by a reliable credentials evaluation service which specializes in evaluating foreign educational credentials." In the evaluation,

Morningside determined that the beneficiary's foreign degree is equivalent to a bachelor's degree from an accredited college or university in the United States. Given that the record does not contain a copy of the beneficiary's diploma, and the copy of his college transcript does not indicate that he graduated, this evaluation is unsupported by the record and cannot be given any weight. Where an evaluation is not in accord with previous equivalencies or is in any way questionable, it may be discounted or given less weight. *Matter of Sea, Inc.*, 19 I&N Dec. 817 (Comm. 1988).

The petitioner has not demonstrated that the beneficiary's education and experience are equivalent to completion of a United States baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(1), (2), or (3). The only category under which the beneficiary could qualify would be the fourth criterion above, through the analysis described at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(5).

As cited above, Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) may determine that the equivalent of the degree required by the specialty occupation has been acquired through a combination of education, specialized training, and/or work experience in areas related to the specialty. CIS is unable to make such a determination, however, because the record does not indicate how many years of equivalent U.S. college-level education his Philippine studies are worth. CIS cannot determine how many years of study are lacking, nor how many years of progressively responsible work experience would be needed to amount to the equivalence of a U.S. bachelor's degree.

Furthermore, the record does not document the beneficiary's expertise through recognition of that expertise in the specialty occupation by at least two recognized authorities in the same specialty occupation. Pursuant to the regulations, the petitioner must present evidence that the beneficiary has recognition of expertise in the specialty by at least one of the forms of documentation referenced at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(5)(i)-(v). Counsel did not submit any evidence to support the beneficiary's eligibility under this regulation other than the three letters, which are considered under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(5)(i). This standard requires "[r]ecognition of expertise in the specialty occupation by at least two recognized authorities in the same specialty occupation."

Counsel submitted three letters in addition to the evaluation (which has already been discussed and will not be addressed any further). The first two letters are from Jose A. Resurreccion and [REDACTED] both CPAs and former colleagues of the beneficiary's. The third letter is from [REDACTED] a CPA who reviewed the beneficiary's qualifications. The record contains no evidence that these three individuals, although CPAs, are recognized authorities in the accounting field; hence their letters cannot be used to document the beneficiary's expertise.

The petitioner has failed to establish that the beneficiary is qualified to perform the duties of an accountant. The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.