



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

[Handwritten signature]

[Redacted]

FILE: WAC 03 102 52286 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

[Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

for *Mari Johnson*

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center, denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days after service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The record indicates that the director issued the decision on May 7, 2003. It is noted that the director properly gave notice to the petitioner that it had 33 days to file the appeal. The record also indicates the following facts. Counsel's initial mailing of the appeal was received by Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) on June 2, 2003, but without the proper fee. Accordingly, on June 3, 2003, in accordance with the filing-fee requirement provisions of 8 C.F.R. §§ 103.2(a)(1) and 103.7, CIS returned the appeal to counsel, with a notification that a fee of \$110.00 was required. CIS later accepted the appeal for filing, and date-stamped it accordingly, on June 10, 2003, the date CIS received the appeal with the proper filing fee. This was 34 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the service center director. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). The director declined to treat the late appeal as a motion and forwarded the matter to the AAO.

As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.