


PUBLIC COPY

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services




FILE: SRC 03 004 53179 Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: JUL 08 2004

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

for Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner operates [REDACTED] and seeks to employ the beneficiary as a food service manager. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition because the proffered position was not a specialty occupation. On appeal, counsel submits a brief and additional information.

The issue to be discussed in this proceeding is whether the position offered to the beneficiary qualifies as a specialty occupation.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides, in part, for the classification of qualified nonimmigrant aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

[A]n occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in field of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or

- (4) The nature of the specific duties are so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term “degree” in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

The record of proceedings before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director’s request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner’s response to the director’s request; (4) the director’s denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B with counsel’s brief. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary’s services as a food service manager. Evidence of the beneficiary’s duties includes the I-129 petition with attachment, and the petitioner’s response to the director’s request for evidence. According to this evidence the beneficiary would: oversee the daily food and beverage service operations of the company and the employees engaged in serving food and beverages; plan, direct, and coordinate the food and beverage activities of the entire hotel and restaurant; interview, hire, and train workers in all aspects of food and beverage preparation and service; ensure that high sanitary standards are maintained; ensure customer satisfaction; oversee recommendation and establishment of prices and portions for foods and services within approved profit guidelines; prepare and verify reports; establish food quality procedures; review sales records; and track food cost and inventory. The petitioner requires a minimum of a bachelor’s degree in hotel management, food and beverage management, or a related field for entry into the proffered position.

The director found that the offered position did not qualify as a specialty occupation. On appeal, counsel submits a brief stating that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation.

Upon review of the record, the petitioner has failed to establish that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation. The AAO routinely consults the Department of Labor’s *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)* for information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. The duties of the proffered position are essentially those noted for food service managers. The *Handbook* notes that a bachelor’s degree in restaurant and food service management provides strong preparation for a career in this occupation. Candidates are also recruited, however, from two and four-year college hospitality management programs, as well as from technical institutes and other institutions offering programs leading to associate degrees or other formal certification. A minimum of a bachelor’s degree is not, therefore, the minimum requirement for entry into the proffered position. The petitioner has failed to establish the first criterion of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

The petitioner has also failed to establish that a degree in a specific specialty is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations. In support of this proposition the petitioner submits copies of job advertisements. Those advertisements do not indicate, however, that a minimum of a bachelor’s degree in a specific specialty is common to the industry as a whole. The advertisements educational requirements varied greatly: three stated that a bachelor’s degree in an unspecified discipline was preferred, not required; two required degrees in food service management; one required a high school diploma or GED with experience; one required a high school diploma with seven years experience or an associates degree in an

unspecified discipline with five years experience; one required an associates or bachelor's degree in an unspecified discipline; and one listed no educational requirements. The educational requirements noted in the advertisements are consistent with the requirements previously noted in the *Handbook*. A degree in a specific specialty is not common in the industry. It should further be noted that the duties of the offered position are routine for food service managers in the industry. They are not so unique or complex that they can be performed only by an individual with a degree. The petitioner has failed to establish the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2). The petitioner does not state that it normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the proffered position, and offers no evidence in that regard. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3).

Finally, the nature of the specific duties is not so specialized or complex that knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree. Once again, the duties to be performed by the beneficiary are routinely performed by food service managers in the industry having less than a bachelor's degree. The petitioner has failed to establish the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4).

The petitioner has failed to establish that the offered position meets any of the criteria listed at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The petitioner also makes reference to the Department of Labor's *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, and the SVP rating of the offered position, in support of its assertion that the position qualifies as a specialty occupation. An SVP rating is meant to indicate only the total number of years of vocational preparation required for a particular position. The SVP classification does not describe how those years are to be divided among training, formal education, and experience, nor does it specify the particular type of degree, if any, that a position would require. The petitioner's assertion in this regard is unpersuasive.

The petitioner further asserts that a previous agency decision has classified the offered position as a specialty occupation. This reference will not sustain the petitioner's burden of establishing H-1B qualification in the petition now before the AAO. This record of proceeding does not contain the entire record of proceeding in the petition referred to by counsel. Accordingly, no comparison of the positions can be made. Each nonimmigrant petition is a separate proceeding with a separate record. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.8(d). In making a determination of statutory eligibility, the AAO is limited to the information contained in the record of proceeding. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(16)(ii). It warrants noting that Congress intended this visa classification for aliens that are to be employed in an occupation that requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge. Congress specifically stated that such an occupation would require, as a *minimum* qualification, a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty. CIS regularly approves H-1B petitions for qualified aliens who are to be employed as engineers, computer scientists, certified public accountants, college professors, and other such professions. These occupations all require a baccalaureate degree in the specialty occupation as a minimum for entry into the occupation and fairly represent the types of professions that Congress contemplated when it created that visa category. In the present matter, the petitioner has offered the beneficiary a position as a food service manager. For the reasons discussed above, the proffered position does not require attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty as a minimum for entry into the occupation, and approval of a petition for another beneficiary based on identical facts would constitute material error, gross error, and a violation of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2 paragraph (h).

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden and the appeal shall accordingly be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.