

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



Da

FILE: SRC 03 179 50005 Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: JUL 25 2006

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a hospital staffing business that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a clinical educator in the intensive care unit. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition because the proffered position is not a specialty occupation. On appeal, the petitioner's recruitment coordinator submits a brief.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term "degree" in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner's response to the director's request; (4) the director's denial letter; and (5) Form I-290B and supporting documentation. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary's services as a clinical educator in the intensive care unit. Evidence of the beneficiary's duties includes: the I-129 petition; the petitioner's May 20, 2003 letter in support of the

petition; and the petitioner's response to the director's request for evidence. According to this evidence, the beneficiary would perform duties that entail: developing an educational program for nurses and other personnel assigned to the intensive care unit; collaborating with departmental leaders to coordinate clinical affiliations with technical schools, colleges, and universities; coordinating and implementing educational programs for safety and risk management; interacting with the community regarding appropriate education and training; and assessing, updating, and coordinating hospital and nursing orientation. The petitioner indicated that a qualified candidate for the job would possess a bachelor's degree in a healthcare field.

The director found that the proffered position, which is that of a registered nurse, was not a specialty occupation. Citing to the Department of Labor's (DOL) *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)*, 2002-2003 edition, the director noted that the minimum requirement for entry into the position was not a baccalaureate degree or its equivalent in a specific specialty. The director found further that the petitioner failed to establish any of the criteria found at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

On appeal, the petitioner's recruitment coordinator states, in part, that the proffered position is a clinical educator position, and is not a staff registered nurse. She further states that, because the proffered position is an administrative position, it requires a bachelor's degree, in accordance with the DOL's finding in its *Handbook*.

Upon review of the record, the petitioner has established none of the four criteria outlined in 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Therefore, the proffered position is not a specialty occupation.

The AAO turns first to the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) and (2): a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is the normal minimum requirement for entry into the particular position; a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations; or a particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree.

Factors often considered by CIS when determining these criteria include: whether the *Handbook* reports that the industry requires a degree; whether the industry's professional association has made a degree a minimum entry requirement; and whether letters or affidavits from firms or individuals in the industry attest that such firms "routinely employ and recruit only degreed individuals." See *Shanti, Inc. v. Reno*, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1151, 1165 (D.Min. 1999)(quoting *Hird/Blaker Corp. v. Slattery*, 764 F. Supp. 872, 1102 (S.D.N.Y. 1991)).

The AAO routinely consults the *Handbook* for its information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. The AAO does not agree with the petitioner's assertion that the proffered position would normally require a bachelor's degree in nursing or a related field. The proffered position is that of a clinical educator in the intensive care unit. In its *Handbook*, 2004-2005 edition, the DOL states the following about the training and educational requirements for registered nurse positions:

There are three major educational paths to registered nursing: a bachelor's of science degree in nursing (BSN), an associate degree in Nursing (A.D.N.), and a diploma. . . . Generally, licensed graduates of any of the three types of educational programs qualify for entry-level positions as staff nurses.

[S]ome career paths are open only to nurses with bachelor's or advanced degrees. A bachelor's degree is often necessary for administrative positions, and it is a prerequisite for

admission to graduate nursing programs in research, consulting, teaching, or a clinical specialization.

The *Handbook* does not elaborate on administrative nursing positions within this classification, although reference is made to two nursing positions within the classification of registered nurse that appear analogous to the proffered position. The *Handbook* states the following about head nurses or nurse supervisors:

Head nurses or nurse supervisors direct nursing activities, primarily in hospitals. They plan work schedules and assign duties to nurses and aides, provide or arrange for training, and visit patients to observe nurses and to ensure that the patients receive proper care. They also may ensure that records are maintained and equipment and supplies are ordered.

The proffered position appears to resemble a nursing position beyond the entry-level registered nurse, but it does not appear to be analogous to an administrative nursing position. A recent CIS policy memo provides the following commentary on administrative nursing positions: "Nursing Services Administrators are generally supervisory level nurses who hold an RN, and a graduate degree in nursing or health administration. (See Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Dep't of Labor, Occupational Outlook Handbook at 75.)" The *Handbook* reference is to the classification of medical and health services managers. The *Handbook* states:

The occupation, medical and health services manager, encompasses all individuals who plan, direct, coordinate and supervise the delivery of healthcare. Medical and health services managers include specialists and generalists. Specialists are in charge of specific clinical departments or services, while generalists manage or help to manage an entire facility or system.

In this case, the petitioner has not demonstrated that the proffered position is an administrative position, which would require a registered nurse with a master's degree in nursing or health administration. Rather, the proposed duties are similar to those of a head nurse or nurse supervisor, as described herein. As such, it is concluded that the petitioner has not demonstrated that the proffered position is a specialty occupation within the meaning of the regulations. Thus, the petitioner has not shown that a bachelor's degree or its equivalent is required for the position being offered to the beneficiary.

Regarding parallel positions in the petitioner's industry, the petitioner submitted various job postings for healthcare positions. There is no evidence, however, to show that the advertised positions are parallel to the instant position. For example, one of the positions is for a clinical educator for the Piedmont Center of Kaiser Permanente, with duties that include maintaining and managing projects and work teams, acting as a consultant and liaison to other regional and operational departments, and developing and facilitating department train-the-trainer sessions. Some of the other advertisements do not provide a comprehensive description of duties and therefore it is not possible to determine whether the positions are parallel to the proffered position. Thus, the advertisements have little relevance. In view of the foregoing, the petitioner has not established the criteria set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) or (2).

The AAO now turns to the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3) – the employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position. As the petitioner's nurse recruiter does not discuss this issue on appeal, it will not be discussed further.

Finally, the AAO turns to the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4) – the nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

To the extent that they are depicted in the record, the duties do not appear so specialized and complex as to require the highly specialized knowledge associated with a baccalaureate or higher degree, or its equivalent, in a specific specialty. Therefore, the evidence does not establish that the proffered position is a specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4).

As related in the discussion above, the petitioner has failed to establish that the proffered position is a specialty occupation.

Beyond the decision of the director, the record does not contain a comprehensive description of the beneficiary's proposed duties from an authorized representative of St. Lucie Medical Center, where the beneficiary is to perform the proposed duties. As such, the petitioner has not persuasively demonstrated that a specialty occupation exists for the beneficiary. For this additional reason, the petition may not be approved.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.