





U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

72



FILE: WAC 02 226 53046 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



JUN 01 2004

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF REPRESENTED

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Mari Johnson

to Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

PUBLIC COPY

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a law office, and seeks to employ the beneficiary as a legal consultant. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition on the basis that the proffered position was not a specialty occupation. On appeal, counsel states that the offered position qualifies as a specialty occupation.

The issue to be discussed in this proceeding is whether the position offered to the beneficiary qualifies as a specialty occupation.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides, in part, for the classification of qualified nonimmigrant aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

[A]n occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in field of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

1. A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
2. The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;

3. The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
4. The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term “degree” in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director’s request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner’s response to the director’s request; (4) the director’s denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B with the petitioner’s brief. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary’s services as a legal consultant. Evidence of the beneficiary’s duties includes the I-129 petition with attachment and the petitioner’s response to the director’s request for evidence. According to this evidence the beneficiary would: draft legal documents; negotiate agreements with clients and vendors; draft contracts for the purchase and sale of goods; prepare buy-sell agreements, consulting, and software development contracts; draft licensing agreements and stock option plans; and perform legal research. The petitioner requires a minimum of a law degree, or degree in a related field, for entry into the offered position.

The director found that the offered position did not qualify as a specialty occupation and failed to meet any of the criteria of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). On appeal, counsel states that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation, and that the position has been previously approved by the AAO.

Upon review of the record, the petitioner has failed to establish that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation. The AAO routinely consults the U.S. Department of Labor’s *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)* for information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. The duties of the proffered position are essentially those noted for paralegals. The *Handbook* details the duties of a paralegal as follows:

While lawyers assume ultimate responsibility for legal work, they often delegate many of their tasks to paralegals. In fact, paralegals-also called legal assistants- continue to assume a growing range of tasks in the Nation’s legal offices and perform many of the same tasks as lawyers. Nevertheless, they are still explicitly prohibited from carrying out duties which are considered to be the practice of law, such as setting legal fees, giving legal advice, and presenting cases in court.

One of a paralegal’s most important tasks is helping lawyers prepare for closings, hearings, trials, and corporate meetings. Paralegals investigate the facts of cases and ensure that all relevant information is considered. They also identify appropriate laws, judicial

decisions, legal articles, and other materials that are relevant to assigned cases. After they analyze and organize the information, paralegals may prepare written reports that attorneys use in determining how cases should be handled. Should attorneys decide to file lawsuits on behalf of clients, paralegals may help prepare the legal arguments, draft pleadings and motions to be filed with the court, obtain affidavits, and assist attorneys during trials. Paralegals also organize and track files of all important case documents and make them available and easily accessible to attorneys.

In addition to this preparatory work, paralegals also perform a number of other vital functions. For example, they help draft contracts, mortgages, separation agreements, and trust instruments. They also may assist in preparing tax returns and planning estates. Some paralegals coordinate the activities of other law office employees and maintain financial office records. Various additional tasks may differ, depending on the employer.

The *Handbook* notes that there are several ways to become a paralegal, and that employers usually require formal paralegal training through an associates/bachelor's degree, or certification programs. Increasingly, employers prefer graduates of four-year paralegal programs, or college graduates with paralegal certification. Other employers, however, prefer to train college graduates with no experience, or to promote experienced legal secretaries. It is, therefore, apparent that a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, is not normally the minimum requirement for entry into the proffered position. The petitioner has failed to satisfy the first criterion of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

The petitioner does not assert that a degree in a specific specialty is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations, and offers no proof in this regard. Further, the duties of the proffered position are routinely performed by paralegals and law students in the industry and are not so complex or unique that they can only be performed by an individual with a degree in a specific specialty. The petitioner has failed to satisfy the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2).

The petitioner states that it normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the offered position, and satisfies the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3). The petitioner did not, however, offer evidence in support of that assertion. Assuming *arguendo* that this is the case, the proffered position still does not qualify as a specialty occupation. The performance of the duties of the position must still involve the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge. *Cf. Defensor v. Meissner*, 201 F.3d 388 (5th Cir. 2000). This position does not. As noted above, the duties of the position are routinely performed in the industry by individuals with less than a baccalaureate level education in a specific specialty.

Finally, the petitioner has not satisfied the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4). Once again, the duties to be performed are routine for paralegals in the industry. They are not so specialized or complex that knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty.

The petitioner further asserts that the AAO and/or CIS has previously determined that the offered position qualifies as a specialty occupation in that previous petitions for similar applicants have been approved. The

petitioner's reference to approvals of unrelated petitions does not sustain its burden of establishing H-1B qualification in the petition now before the AAO. This record of proceeding does not contain the complete records of proceeding of the petitions referred to by counsel. In the absence of all of the corroborating evidence contained in those records of proceeding, the AAO is unable to determine whether the positions are indeed similar, or whether the referenced approvals were approved in error.

Each nonimmigrant petition is a separate proceeding with a separate record. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.8(d). In making a determination of statutory eligibility, the AAO is limited to the information contained in the record of proceeding. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(16)(ii). Although the AAO may attempt to hypothesize as to whether the prior approval was granted in error, no such determination may be made without review of the original record in its entirety. If the prior petitions were approved based on evidence that was substantially similar to the evidence contained in the record of proceeding now before the AAO, however, the approval of the prior petitions would have been erroneous. The AAO is not required to approve petitions where eligibility has not been demonstrated, merely because of prior approvals that may have been erroneous. *See, e.g., Matter of Church of Scientology International*, 19 I&N Dec. 593, 597 (Comm. 1988). Neither CIS nor any other agency must treat acknowledged errors as binding precedent. *Sussex Engg. Ltd. V. Montgomery*, 825 F.2d 1084, 1090 (6th Cir. 1987), *cert. denied*, 485 U.S. 1008 (1988).

The petitioner has failed to establish that the offered position meets any of the criteria listed at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden and the appeal shall accordingly be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.