

D2

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



NOV 20 2004

FILE: LIN 04 002 52137 Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

PUBLIC COPY

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner manages accounts receivable for medical providers and seeks to employ the beneficiary as a manager of medical accounts receivable. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition because the proffered position is not a specialty occupation. On appeal, the petitioner submits a brief stating that the proffered position is a specialty occupation.

The issue to be discussed in this proceeding is whether the offered position qualifies as a specialty occupation.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides, in part, for the classification of qualified nonimmigrant aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

[A]n occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;

- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties are so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term "degree" in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) the Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's requests for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner's response to the director's requests; (4) the director's denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary's services as a manager of medical accounts receivable. Evidence of the beneficiary's duties includes the I-129 petition with attachment and the petitioner's response to the director's request for evidence. According to this evidence the beneficiary would: manage outstanding medical accounts receivable; maximize medical collections while ensuring compliance with HIPPA regulations; analyze medical facilities accounts receivable data for trends that can shorten the time in receivable status. The petitioner does not state that a bachelor's degree in any particular discipline is required for entry into the proffered position, but indicates that is seeking graduates with financial, accounting, or supervisory backgrounds.

Upon review of the record, the petitioner has failed to establish that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation. The AAO routinely consults the U.S. Department of Labor's *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)* for information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. The duties of the proffered position are presented in such vague and generic terms, however, that it is impossible to determine precisely what tasks the beneficiary would perform on a daily basis, or the complexity of the tasks to be performed. For example, the petitioner indicates that the beneficiary would: manage outstanding medical accounts; maximize medical collections; and analyze accounts receivable data for trends that could, if corrected, shorten the time an account remains in receivable status. It is incumbent upon the petitioner to describe the duties of the proffered position in such detail as to permit an analysis of the day-to-day functions to be performed by the beneficiary. This, the petitioner has failed to do. It is impossible to determine, from the vague and generic job description provided, precisely what tasks the beneficiary would perform or the complexity of such tasks. As such, it is impossible to determine whether: a baccalaureate or higher degree is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the offered position; a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel position among similar organizations; the duties of the offered position are so complex or unique that they can be performed by an individual with a degree in a specific specialty; or knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty. The petitioner has failed to establish that the offered position meets the requirements of 8 C.F.R. §§ 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1), (2), or (4). The petitioner does not assert that it normally requires a degree in a **specific specialty** for the offered position, and offers no evidence in this regard. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3). (Emphasis added.) While the petitioner states that four of its managers

have the equivalent of a specialty degree in work experience, it offers no supporting evidence in support of this assertion. Simply going on record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for the purpose of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Treasure Craft of California*, 14 I&N Dec. 190 (Reg. Comm. 1972).

The proffered position does not meet any of the requirements of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Accordingly, the director's denial of the I-129 petition shall not be disturbed.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has failed to sustain that burden and the appeal shall accordingly be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.