



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

D2



FILE: WAC 03 005 52063 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER


NOV 20 2004

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

PUBLIC COPY
Identifying data does not
prevent clearly understood
reproduction of personal privacy

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is an importer and wholesaler of semi-precious stones and minerals that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a database administrator. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition because the proffered position does not qualify as a specialty occupation. On appeal, counsel submits a brief and additional information stating that the offered position qualifies as a specialty occupation.

The issue to be discussed in this proceeding is whether the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides, in part, for the classification of qualified nonimmigrant aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

[A]n occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;

- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties are so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term “degree” in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) the Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director’s request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner’s response to the director’s request; (4) the director’s denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B with supporting documentation. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary’s services as a database administrator. Evidence of the beneficiary’s duties includes the I-129 petition with attachment and the petitioner’s response to the director’s request for evidence. According to this evidence the beneficiary would: set up and maintain company sales, customer and product databases; maintain the inventory control database, including gemstone and mineral sources, availability, cost and quantity information; manage database access among the company’s employees specifying the type of information, input modes and modifications accessible to all system users; write instructions and rules on the database for company users and conduct ongoing training in database modifications and use improvements for employees; and test the database system, troubleshoot errors, and tweak databases to allow for optimum performance. The petitioner requires a minimum of a bachelor’s degree in business administration with a major in computer information systems for entry into the proffered position.

Upon review of the record, the petitioner has failed to establish that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation. The AAO routinely consults the Department of Labor’s *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)* for information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. The duties of the proffered position are essentially those of a database administrator. The *Handbook* notes that there is no universally accepted way to prepare for a job as a systems analyst, computer scientist, or database administrator, but most employers place a premium on some formal college education. While a bachelor’s degree is a prerequisite for many jobs, others may require only a two-year degree. Despite a preference towards technical degrees, individuals with a degree in a variety of majors find employment as database administrators, with the level of education and type of training required depending upon the employer’s specific needs. Training is offered by universities, as well as community colleges and technical institutes. The petitioner has not, therefore, established that a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the proffered position. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(I). Although some employers may require a baccalaureate level education for database administrator positions, many are regularly filled with individuals having less than a baccalaureate level education, and employees with degrees in a variety of majors find employment in the occupation.

The petitioner has not established that a degree requirement in a specific specialty is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2). The petitioner submitted copies of numerous job advertisements to establish that the offered position requires specialized training in the industry. The advertisements, however, are not from organizations similar in scope and nature to that of the petitioner, and are, therefore, of little evidentiary value. Furthermore, the advertisements provided indicate that degrees in a wide range of educational disciplines, with related experience, will qualify an applicant for consideration. For example, acceptable degrees include computer science and information systems, along with unrelated degrees in engineering, marketing, communications, or business administration. The advertisements do not establish that a degree in a specific specialty is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations. The petitioner has failed to establish the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2).

The petitioner does not allege that it normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the offered position, and offers no evidence in this regard. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3).

Finally, the duties of the offered position are not so complex or unique that they can be performed only by an individual with a degree in a particular specialty. Nor are they so specialized or complex that knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty. 8 C.F.R. §§ 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2) and (4). The duties are routine for the position of a database administrator.

The petitioner also asserts that the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles (DOT)* indicates that the offered position qualifies as a specialty occupation, and that a prior agency decision approved a similar petition. The petitioner's assertions regarding the DOT's SVP rating for the offered position do not establish that the offered position is a specialty occupation. An SVP rating is meant to indicate only the total number of years of vocational preparation required for a particular position. The SVP classification does not describe how those years are to be divided among training, formal education, and experience, nor does it specify the particular type of degree, if any, that a position would require. The petitioner's reference to a previous agency decision will not sustain the petitioner's burden of establishing H-1B qualification in the petition now before the AAO. This record of proceeding does not contain the entire record of proceeding in the petition referred to. Accordingly, no comparison of the positions can be made. Each nonimmigrant petition is a separate proceeding with a separate record. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.8(d). In making a determination of statutory eligibility, the AAO is limited to the information contained in the record of proceeding. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(16)(ii). It warrants noting that Congress intended this visa classification for aliens that are to be employed in an occupation that requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge. Congress specifically stated that such an occupation would require, as a *minimum* qualification, a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty. CIS regularly approves H-1B petitions for qualified aliens who are to be employed as engineers, computer scientists, certified public accountants, college professors, and other such professions. These occupations all require a baccalaureate degree in the specialty occupation as a minimum for entry into the occupation and fairly represent the types of professions that Congress contemplated when it created that visa category. In the present matter, the petitioner has offered the beneficiary a position as a database administrator. For the reasons discussed above, the proffered position does not require attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty as a minimum

for entry into the occupation, and approval of a petition for another beneficiary based on identical facts would constitute material error, gross error, and a violation of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2 paragraph (h).

The petitioner has failed to establish that the offered position meets any of the criteria listed at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden and the appeal shall accordingly be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.