

identifying data deleted  
persons clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services



FILE: WAC 02 047 50172 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: 007 11 2002

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to  
the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is an orchestra. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a conductor/balalaika performer and to classify her as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition because the proffered position is not a specialty occupation, and because the petitioner failed to establish that it qualified as an employer under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii). On appeal, the petitioner submits a brief and additional information indicating that the offered position qualifies as a specialty occupation and that it has the ability to pay the beneficiary's wages.

The first issue to be discussed in this proceeding is whether the position offered to the beneficiary qualifies as a specialty occupation.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides, in part, for the classification of qualified nonimmigrant aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

[A]n occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;

- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties are so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term “degree” in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

The record of proceedings before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director’s request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner’s response to the director’s request; (4) the director’s denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B with supporting documentation. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary’s services as a musical director. Evidence of the beneficiary’s duties was included with the Form I-129 petition, and in response to the director’s request for evidence. According to this evidence the beneficiary would: lead the balalaika rhythm section in the orchestra; educate and train other section members in the intricacies of the balalaika, especially when interpreting American composers; and research and arrange different kinds of music, developing new techniques for string musical instruments. The petitioner requires a minimum of a bachelor’s degree in music for entry into the proffered position.

Upon review of the record, the petitioner has failed to establish that a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the offered position, or that a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations. Factors often considered by CIS when determining these criteria include: whether the Department of Labor’s *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)* reports that the industry requires a degree; whether an industry professional association has made a degree a minimum entry requirement; and whether letters or affidavits from firms or individuals in the industry attest that such firms “routinely employ and recruit only degreed individuals.” See *Shanti, Inc. v. Reno*, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1151, 1165 (D. Min. 1999) (quoting *Hird/Baker Corp. v. Slattery*, 764 F. Supp. 872, 1102 (S.D.N.Y. 1991)).

The AAO routinely consults the *Handbook* for information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. The duties of the proffered position are essentially those noted for musicians and related workers. As noted in the *Handbook*, musicians need extensive and prolonged training to acquire the necessary skills, knowledge, and ability to interpret music. There is no indication, however, that a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the proffered position. Formal training may be obtained through private study with an accomplished musician, in university level formal education settings, or in a music conservatory. The petitioner has, therefore, failed to establish the first criterion of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

The petitioner has also failed to establish that a degree requirement in a specific specialty is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations, or that it normally requires a degree in a specific specialty for entry into the proffered position, and offers no evidence in this regard. 8 C.F.R.

§ 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2) and (3). Finally, the duties of the offered position appear to be routine for musicians/section leaders who perform in orchestras. They are not so complex or unique that they can only be performed by an individual with a degree in a specific specialty. Nor are they so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2) and (4).

The petitioner has failed to establish any of the abovementioned regulatory criteria for classifying the offered position as a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the director's decision in this regard will not be disturbed.

The final issue to be considered is whether the petitioner established that an employer – employee relationship would exist between the petitioner and the beneficiary if the Form I-129 petition were approved. The director held to the contrary because the petitioner had not established that it had the ability to pay the beneficiary's wages. A United States employer is described by regulation as follows:

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii), *United States employer* means a person, firm, corporation, contractor, or other association, or organization in the United States which:

- (1) Engages a person to work within the United States;
- (2) Has an employer-employee relationship with respect to employees under this part, as indicated by the fact that it may hire, pay, fire, supervise, or otherwise control the work of any such employee; and
- (3) Has an Internal Revenue Service Tax identification number.

The petitioner satisfies the above listed regulatory criteria for an employer. The petitioner would engage the beneficiary to work in the United States, and the petitioner has an Internal Revenue Service Tax identification number. The petitioner would have an employer–employee relationship with respect to the beneficiary in that it could hire, pay, fire, supervise, or otherwise control the work of the beneficiary. The ability to pay the beneficiary, as determined by the director, is not a regulatory criterion to be considered when adjudicating H-1B petitions. As such, the director's decision in this regard, is withdrawn.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has failed to sustain that burden and the appeal shall accordingly be dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.