



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

Handwritten initials

[Redacted]

FILE: WAC 02 208 51502 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: 5/20/08

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:
[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a food supermarket that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a financial economist. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition on the basis that the proffered position was not a specialty occupation. On appeal, counsel submits a brief stating that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation.

The issue to be discussed in this proceeding is whether the position offered to the beneficiary qualifies as a specialty occupation.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides, in part, for the classification of qualified nonimmigrant aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

[A]n occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in field of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or

- (4) The nature of the specific duties are so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term "degree" in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner's response to the director's request; (4) the director's denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B with counsel's brief. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary's services as a financial economist. Evidence of the beneficiary's duties includes the I-129 petition with attachment; and the petitioner's response to the director's request for evidence. According to this evidence the beneficiary would: collect, process, interpret, and analyze economic, financial and statistical data, monitor economic trends and develop economic forecasts for the petitioner; prepare written reports and tables/charts presenting his findings and conclusions; and help the petitioner develop expansion plans, increase its market share in the industry and maximize profits. The petitioner also provided a detailed listing of the tasks to be performed by the beneficiary in its November 18, 2002 response to the director's request for evidence, which has been fully reviewed by the AAO.

The AAO routinely consults the Department of Labor's *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)* for information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. The duties of the proffered position are varied, but essentially those noted for top executives/general and operations managers/financial officers. The *Handbook* notes that the formal education and experience of top executives varies as widely as the nature of their responsibilities. Many top executives have a bachelor's or higher degree in business administration or liberal arts. Other executive positions, however, are filled by promoting experienced, lower level managers. Thus, it is possible to obtain a position as a general/operations/finance manager without a college degree by promotion from within the organization based upon performance alone. It is apparent from the *Handbook* that a baccalaureate or higher degree, in a specific specialty, is not the minimum requirement for entry into the offered position. When degrees are required for employment, the degree may come from a wide range of educational disciplines. The petitioner has failed to establish the first criterion of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

The petitioner asserts that a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2). In support of this assertion the petitioner submitted an opinion letter from [REDACTED] Professor of Finance at Seattle Pacific University. [REDACTED] opined that, based on his education and experience, the proffered position is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree. [REDACTED] further states that the degree most appropriate for such a position is a degree in economics, or its equivalent. This statement does not establish that a degree in a specific specialty is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations. Indeed, [REDACTED] offers no objective basis for his opinion

such as industry surveys or manpower reports. His opinion states only that the duties of the proffered position are so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree. This statement is consistent with the educational requirements noted in the *Handbook* for top executives/general and operations managers/finance managers. While [REDACTED] may feel that a degree in economics is the most appropriate degree for the proffered position, the *Handbook*¹ notes that other degrees are also routinely accepted for entry into similar positions. Degrees acceptable for entry into these positions range from a general liberal arts degree to degrees in the business field.

The petitioner has not established that it normally requires a degree or its equivalent in a specific specialty, and offers no evidence in this regard. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3). The petitioner's creation of a position with a perfunctory bachelor's degree requirement will not mask the fact that the position is not a specialty occupation. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) must examine the ultimate employment of the alien, and determine whether the position qualifies as a specialty occupation. *Cf. Defensor v. Meissner*, 201 F. 3d 384 (5th Cir. 2000). The critical element is not the title of the position or an employer's self-imposed standards, but whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specific specialty as the minimum for entry into the occupation as required by the Act.¹ To interpret the regulations any other way would lead to absurd results: if CIS were limited to reviewing a petitioner's self-imposed employment requirements, then any alien with a bachelor's degree could be brought into the United States to perform a menial, non-professional, or an otherwise non-specialty occupation, so long as the employer required all such employees to have baccalaureate or higher degrees. *See id.* At 388.

Finally, the petitioner has not established that the duties of the offered position are so complex or unique that they can only be performed by an individual with a degree in a specific specialty, or that the duties are so specialized or complex that knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2) and (4). The duties described are routinely performed by general and operations managers/top executives/finance managers in the industry.

The petitioner has failed to establish that the offered position meets any of the criteria listed at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden and the appeal shall accordingly be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.

¹ The court in *Defensor v. Meissner* observed that the four criteria at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) present certain ambiguities when compared to the statutory definition, and "might also be read as merely an additional requirement that a position must meet, in addition to the statutory and regulatory definition." *See id.* at 387.