


02

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



FILE: WAC 03 200 50819 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: 03/15/07

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

PUBLIC COPY
Identifying data deleted to
prevent unauthorized
invasion of privacy

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal shall be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a wholesale tire distribution company that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a market research analyst. The petitioner, therefore, endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b). The director determined that the position is not a specialty occupation.

Counsel submitted a timely Form I-290B on May 26, 2004, and indicated that a brief and/or evidence would be submitted to the AAO within 30 days. As of this date, however, the AAO has not received any additional evidence into the record. Therefore, the record is complete.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v). On the Form I-290B, counsel states that the duties of the position are consistent with the duties of a specialty occupation and with the needs of the petitioner's industry. However, counsel does not specify how the director made any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in denying the petition. As the petitioner has presented no additional evidence to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.