



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

32

[REDACTED]

FILE: SRC 04 025 51639 Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a corporation engaged in the business of exporting medical equipment such as hospital beds, monitors, MRI machines, and CT scanners. In order to employ the beneficiary as a business development manager for Latin America, the petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b). The director denied the petition on the basis that the petitioner had failed to establish that the proffered position meets the definition of a specialty occupation as set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

On June 15, 2004, counsel timely submitted a Form I-290B (Notice of Appeal) without a brief or evidence. Although counsel entered a check mark at the box at section 2 of the Form I-290B which indicates that he would send a brief and/or evidence within 30 days, the AAO has received neither. Accordingly, the AAO deems the record complete and ready for adjudication.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

On the Form I-290B, counsel provides only this general and conclusory statement about the reasons for the appeal:

The petitioner established that a bachelor's degree (or its equivalent) in marketing is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the business development manager position. The petitioner also established that the degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations and that the duties of the position are of a nature which contemplates such a professional background.

Counsel fails to specify how the director made any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in denying the petition. As neither the petitioner nor counsel presents additional evidence on appeal to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.