

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services


PUBLIC COPY



D2

FILE: WAC 03 169 50866 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: APR 22 2005

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to
the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a healthcare services company that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a health service administrator. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition because the proffered position is not a specialty occupation. The director also found that the beneficiary was not qualified to perform the duties of the specialty occupation. On appeal, the petitioner submits a letter.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term "degree" in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner's response to the director's request; (4) the

director's denial letter; and (5) Form I-290B and supporting documentation. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary's services as a health service administrator. Evidence of the beneficiary's duties includes: the I-129 petition; the petitioner's May 9, 2003 letter in support of the petition; and the petitioner's response to the director's request for evidence. According to this evidence, the beneficiary would perform duties that entail: developing, implementing and maintaining policies and procedures related to health services; planning, directing, coordinating and supervising the delivery of health services to the elderly at home and at nursing facilities and centers; supervising and working directly with financial officers and the company accountant and marketing analyst; preparing a health service management report; supervising the review and auditing of medical charts of elderly and medically disabled clients; and assisting the controller in preparing the company's annual budget. The petitioner indicated that a qualified candidate for the job would possess a bachelor's degree in a medical, dental or healthcare-related field.

The director found that the proffered position was not a specialty occupation. The director found further that the petitioner failed to establish any of the criteria found at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

On appeal, the petitioner states that its need for a health service administrator with a degree is not dependent on the size of the company but on its needs for the position. The petitioner also states that it provided multiple job listings for health services managers with degree requirements to establish that this is an industry standard.

Upon review of the record, the petitioner has established none of the four criteria outlined in 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Therefore, the proffered position is not a specialty occupation.

The AAO turns first to the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2 (h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) and (2): a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is the normal minimum requirement for entry into the particular position; a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations; or a particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree.

The AAO routinely consults the Department of Labor's *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)* for its information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. While the *Handbook* states that the general requirement for a health services manager is a master's degree, and a bachelor's degree is adequate for some entry-level positions in smaller organizations, it also states, "Physician's offices and some other facilities may substitute on-the-job experience for formal education." The petitioner is a healthcare service provider servicing the elderly population at home, nursing facilities, care centers and rehabilitation. No evidence in the *Handbook* indicates that a baccalaureate or higher degree, or its equivalent, is required for this type of health services manager. Thus, the petitioner has not established the first criterion.

The petitioner submitted five Internet job announcements in response to the director's request for evidence, and submits four announcements on appeal. Two of the announcements are for medical records administrators, a different position from the proffered position. The remaining listings are for various healthcare managers and administrators. One of the listings indicates that an associate's degree plus

experience would be adequate background. The remaining listings state that a bachelor's degree is required, but only two of them state a specific specialty. As noted above, CIS interprets the term "degree" in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position. In addition, there is no evidence to show that the employers issuing the postings are similar to the petitioner, or that the advertised positions are parallel to the instant position. Thus, the advertisements have little relevance.

The record does not include any evidence from professional associations regarding an industry standard, or documentation to support the complexity or uniqueness of the proffered position. The petitioner has, thus, not established the criteria set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) or (2).

The AAO now turns to the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3) – the employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position. The petitioner submitted a list of five of its employees that it stated have worked as health service administrators and who have degrees in medical, dental, or healthcare specialties. The only evidence that any of the five worked for the petitioner is the Form DE-6, which includes two of the five individuals. The AAO notes that there is no evidence that any of the five worked as health service administrators, or that three of the five worked for the petitioner in any capacity. Additionally, the wage reports indicate that the two who did work for the petitioner may have done so intermittently, or in a lower paying capacity than as health service administrators.

The list of previous employees does not establish the petitioner's past hiring practices. In addition, the petitioner submitted its internal position announcement posted in its office. A flyer posted internally does not establish a history of hiring practices.

Finally, the AAO turns to the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4) – the nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

To the extent that they are depicted in the record, the duties do not appear so specialized and complex as to require the highly specialized knowledge associated with a baccalaureate or higher degree, or its equivalent, in a specific specialty. Therefore, the evidence does not establish that the proffered position is a specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4).

As related in the discussion above, the petitioner has failed to establish that the proffered position is a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The director also found that the beneficiary was not qualified to perform the duties of the specialty occupation because she holds a degree in nursing, but the petitioner did not establish that she had sufficient education, training and/or experience in business management, health services and/or public administration to perform the duties of the position. The petitioner established that the beneficiary possesses a bachelor's degree in nursing, which could be sufficient to qualify her for a specialty occupation requiring such a degree. Since the proffered position was determined not to be a specialty occupation, the beneficiary's qualifications are not material.

An H-1B alien is coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation. Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b). 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(1)(ii)(B). In this case, the petitioner did not establish that the beneficiary would be coming to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.