

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

D 2

FILE: WAC 03 190 50186 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: JUN 16 2005

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a hotel operator and seeks to employ the beneficiary as a hotel general manager. It endeavors to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition because the proffered position does not qualify as a specialty occupation. On appeal, the petitioner submits a brief asserting that the offered position qualifies as a specialty occupation.

The issue to be discussed in this proceeding is whether the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides, in part, for the classification of qualified nonimmigrant aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

[A]n occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;

- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties are so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term “degree” in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) the Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director’s request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner’s response to the director’s request; (4) the director’s denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B with supporting documentation. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary’s services as a hotel general manager. Evidence of the beneficiary’s duties includes the Form I-129 petition with attachment and the petitioner’s response to the director’s request for evidence. According to this evidence this beneficiary would: analyze daily cash flow statements for the room division, front desk, housekeeping, bell desk, maintenance, and food and beverage division; prepare financial statements to report to the Board of Directors on a monthly basis; analyze cost effectiveness on purchasing weekly; meet and negotiate with major vendors to reduce the cost of goods; interview for hiring personnel; evaluate assistant managers; research competitor’s salaries; participate in management seminars; analyze competitor’s room rates and food; determine advertising media; conduct room division managers meetings; conduct F7B division managers meeting; meet with the corporate attorney; determine restaurant food and beverage; and determine room rates. The petitioner requires “an educational background in business, marketing and/or economics . . .” for entry into the proffered position.

Upon review of the record, the petitioner has failed to establish that a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the offered position. Factors often considered by CIS when determining this criterion include: whether the Department of Labor’s *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)*, reports that the industry requires a degree; whether an industry professional association has made a degree a minimum entry requirement; and whether letters or affidavits from firms or individuals in the industry attest that such firms “routinely employ and recruit only degreed individuals.” See *Shanti, Inc. v. Reno*, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1151, 1165 (D. Minn. 1999) (quoting *Hird/Blaker Corp. v. Sava*, 712 F. Supp. 1095, 1102 (S.D.N.Y. 1989)).

The AAO routinely consults the *Handbook* for information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. The duties of the proffered position are essentially those noted for lodging managers. The *Handbook* notes that hotels increasingly emphasize specialized training for lodging managers. Postsecondary training in hotel or restaurant management is preferred for most hotel management positions, but a college liberal arts degree may be sufficient when coupled with related hotel experience. In the past, many managers were promoted from the ranks of hotel staff. Although some employees still advance to hotel management positions without education beyond high school, postsecondary education is preferred. There are over 800 educational facilities that have programs leading to recognition in hotel or restaurant

management. They include community colleges, junior colleges, universities, vocational and trade schools. Large motel and hotel chains offer better opportunities for advancement than small independently owned establishments and career advancement may be accelerated by completion of certification programs offered by various associations. The petitioner has, therefore, failed to establish that a baccalaureate or higher degree, or its equivalent, in a specific specialty is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the offered position. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1). Educational qualification for the offered position exists from baccalaureate level liberal arts degrees, to education earned in junior colleges or vocational and trade schools.

The petitioner asserts that a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations, and in support thereof submits the name and telephone numbers of other hotel managers in the petitioner's area that allegedly possess bachelor's degrees. The petitioner did not submit, however, documentary proof of the degrees held or indicate the specific field of study of any such degree. Simply going on the record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for purposes of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Soffici*, 22 I&N Dec. 158, 165 (Comm. 1998) (citing *Matter of Treasure Craft of California*, 14 I&N 190 (Reg. Comm. 1972)). The petitioner has not established the referenced criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2).

The petitioner has not established that it normally requires a degree in a specific specialty for the proffered position, and offers no evidence in this regard. The criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3) has not been established.

Finally, the petitioner has not established that the duties of the offered position are so complex or unique that they can only be performed by an individual with a degree in a specific specialty, or that the duties are so specialized or complex that knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty. The duties described by the petitioner appear to be routine for hotel general managers and regularly performed by individuals with a wide range of education and/or experience. As such, the petitioner has failed to establish the referenced criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2) or (4).

The petitioner has failed to establish that the offered position meets any of the criteria listed at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden and the appeal shall accordingly be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.