


Identity information deleted to
prevent disclosure of unarranged
information and protect privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Massachusetts Ave. N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



D2

FILE: EAC 03 254 56279 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: NOV 21 2005

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:
[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is an industrial and commercial cleaning company. The petitioner seeks to employ the beneficiary as a sales manager and to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b). The director denied the petition because the petitioner failed to establish that the proposed position qualifies as a specialty occupation.

On appeal, counsel submitted a Form I-290B (Notice of Appeal) without a brief or evidence and marked the box at section 2, indicating that she would send a brief and/or evidence within 30 days. The AAO received neither. Counsel has not replied to an AAO facsimile message, dated November 7, 2005, requesting counsel to submit any previously filed brief and/or evidence within five days.

An officer to whom an appeal is made shall summarily dismiss the appeal if the party concerned fails to specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the original decision. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The Notice of Appeal simply states the following:

The Service erred in assessing the evidence presented in support of the petitioner's application for an H-1B visa. Contrary to the Service's assertions, the petitioner provided invoices from its business, along with listings in public directories such as Verizon. Furthermore, the service erred in holding that the position of sales manager is not one which normally requires a baccalaureate degree, as will be more fully explained in our brief.

Counsel fails to specify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the director's decision. As neither the petitioner nor counsel presents additional evidence on appeal to overcome the decision, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.