


Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services


PUBLIC COPY



Dr


FILE: EAC 01 064 50589 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: **SEP 03 2005**

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was approved by the Vermont Service Center on June 14, 2001. On May 19, 2003, a Notice of Intent to Revoke (NOIR) was served on the petitioner by mailing a copy of same to the petitioner's attorney. That notice set forth the grounds for revocation of the petitioner's Form I-129 petition, and informed the petitioner that it had 30 days in which to respond to the NOIR. The petitioner responded to the NOIR by correspondence dated June 3, 2003. On September 8, 2003 the director revoked approval of the Form I-129 petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is an audio/video store specializing in the importation, distribution and sale of Indian movies, DVDs, CDs, etc. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a sales manager, and endeavors to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director's determination revoking the Form I-129 petition was made after receiving a memorandum from the U.S. Consulate in Chennai, India calling into question the bona fides of the petitioner as an audio/visual store and the qualification of the offered position as a specialty occupation. After reviewing the record, the director found that the petitioner was a viable company but that the record did not establish the circulation figures of its movies and DVDs. The director determined that the offered position did not qualify as a specialty occupation and revoked approval of the petition.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides, in part, for the classification of qualified nonimmigrant aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1184 (i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

[A]n occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in field of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties are so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term “degree” in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(11)(B)(iii)(5), the director may revoke an H-1B petition if approval of the petition violated paragraph (h) of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2, or involved gross error. In this instance, approval of the petition was in violation of paragraph (h) of the cited regulation in that the proffered position does not qualify as a specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). The petitioner seeks to employ the beneficiary as a sales manager. In this capacity the beneficiary would:

- Review market conditions to determine customer needs, volume potential and pricing to determine where the petitioner should concentrate its selling efforts to increase sales volume;
- Analyze and control sales expenditures;
- Represent the petitioner at trade shows and other venues; and
- Prepare sales reports and sales budgets as well as recommend sales related expenditures and appropriations.

Upon review of the record, the petitioner has failed to establish that a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the offered position, or that a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations, as asserted by the petitioner. Factors often considered by CIS when determining these criteria include: whether the Department of Labor’s *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)* reports that the industry requires a degree; whether an industry professional association has made a degree a minimum entry requirement; and whether letters or affidavits from firms or individuals in the industry attest that such firms “routinely employ and recruit only degreed individuals.” See *Shanti, Inc. v. Reno*, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1151, 1165 (D. Minn. 1999) (quoting *Hird/Blaker Corp. v. Sava*, 712 F. Supp. 1095, 1102 (S.D.N.Y. 1989)).

The AAO routinely consults the *Handbook* for information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. The duties of the proffered position fall within those noted for advertising, marketing,

promotions, public relations, and sales managers. The *Handbook* notes that a wide range of educational backgrounds is suitable for entry into the aforementioned positions, but that many employers prefer related experience plus a broad liberal arts background. Bachelor's degrees in sociology, psychology, literature, journalism, philosophy, or other subjects are suitable. Requirements will vary, however, depending on the duties of a particular position. For example, some employers prefer a bachelor's or master's degree in business administration with an emphasis in marketing, for marketing, sales, and promotion management positions. In highly technical industries such as computer and electronics manufacturing a degree in engineering or science combined with a business degree may be preferred. In public relations management positions some employers prefer a bachelor's or master's degree in public relations or journalism. The *Handbook* further notes that most advertising, marketing, promotions, public relations, and sales management positions are filled by promoting experienced staff or related professional or technical personnel. Many managers are former sales representatives, purchasing agents, or promotions specialists. A baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty or its equivalent is not, therefore, the minimum requirement for entry into the position. A degree in a wide range of disciplines will suffice. The petitioner has, accordingly, failed to establish the first criterion of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

The petitioner has also failed to establish that a degree requirement, in a specific specialty, is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations, or that it normally requires a degree in a specific specialty for the position, and offers no evidence in this regard. The petitioner has failed to establish the referenced criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) or (2).

Finally, the record does not establish that the duties to be performed by the beneficiary are so specialized or complex that knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty. Nor are the duties so complex or unique that they can be performed only by an individual with a degree in a specific specialty. While the petitioner submitted a corporate tax return, it did not submit sufficient information about the Indian movie distribution market to distinguish the position from other sales managers described in the *Handbook*. The duties as defined, appear to be routine in the industry for sales managers and are regularly performed by individuals with degrees in a wide range of educational disciplines. The petitioner has, therefore, failed to establish the referenced criteria at 8 C.F.R. §§ 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2) or (4).

The proffered position does not meet any of the requirements of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), and the petitioner has failed to overcome the grounds of the director's revocation. The director's decision shall, therefore, not be disturbed.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has failed to sustain that burden and the appeal shall accordingly be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.