


identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



DL

FILE: SRC 04 164 51524 Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: JUL 17 2006

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The director of the service center denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is an international real estate services firm that also offers web-based radar meteorology services. It seeks to continue its employment of the beneficiary as a weather information specialist. The petitioner, therefore, endeavors to extend the classification of the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The instant petition was received at the service center on May 21, 2004, but it did not contain a certified labor condition application (LCA). As such, the director requested a certified LCA in a July 24, 2004 request for evidence. In response, the petitioner submitted an LCA that had been certified on August 4, 2004, and the director denied the petition on the basis of the petitioner's failure to obtain a certified LCA prior to filing the petition.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B)(1) stipulates the following:

Before filing a petition for H-1B classification in a specialty occupation, the petitioner shall obtain a certification from the Department of Labor that it has filed a labor condition application in the occupational specialty in which the alien(s) will be employed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(B)(1) states that, when filing an H-1B petition, the petitioner must submit with the petition "[a] certification from the Secretary of Labor that the petitioner has filed a labor condition application with the Secretary." Thus, in order for a petition to be approvable, the LCA must have been certified before the H-1B petition was filed. The submission of a certified LCA certified subsequent to the filing of the petition satisfies neither 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B)(1) nor 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(B)(1). CIS regulations affirmatively require a petitioner to establish eligibility for the benefit it is seeking at the time the petition is filed. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(12).

On appeal, the petitioner states that it provided the information requested by the director, and submits another copy of the late-filed and certified LCA. However, this does not address the basis of the denial. The petition was denied because the LCA was certified subsequent to the date the petition was filed, not because the director had failed to receive the petitioner's August 9, 2004 submission.

The regulations contain no provision for discretionary relief from the LCA requirements. The petitioner's failure to procure a certified LCA prior to filing the H-1B petition precludes its approval, and the AAO will not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.