


Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of individual privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services



D2


FILE: SRC 04 250 50783 Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: **JUL 31 2006**

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



**PHOTOCOPY**

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

for *Michael T. Kelly*  
Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The director of the service center denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a refiner and marketer of petroleum products that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a systems analyst. The petitioner, therefore, endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition on the basis that the petitioner had failed to submit a certified labor condition application (LCA) with the petition.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B)(1) stipulates the following:

Before filing a petition for H-1B classification in a specialty occupation, the petitioner shall obtain a certification from the Department of Labor that it has filed a labor condition application in the occupational specialty in which the alien(s) will be employed.

The instant petition was received at the service center on September 24, 2004, but it did not contain a certified LCA. The petitioner submitted a certified LCA, certified on October 7, 2004, in response to a request for evidence requesting the same.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(B)(1) states that, when filing an H-1B petition, the petitioner must submit with the petition “[a] certification from the Secretary of Labor that the petitioner has filed a labor condition application with the Secretary.” Thus, in order for a petition to be approvable, the LCA must have been certified before the H-1B petition was filed. The petitioner’s submission of a certified LCA certified subsequent to the filing of the petition satisfies neither 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B)(1) nor 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(B)(1).

Further, Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) regulations affirmatively require a petitioner to establish eligibility for the benefit it is seeking at the time the petition is filed. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(12).

On appeal, counsel states the following:

Good faith efforts to obtain the necessary approval in advance of filing the H-1B petition were made. The inability to do so was beyond the control of the petitioner as it was due to a failure or shortcoming of the DOL’s own system. . . .

Given the fact that good faith efforts to comply with the above mentioned requirements have been demonstrated, and the time constraints which applied to the availability of H-1B visas, we would respectfully request that the Service exercise its discretion in a manner which will permit the [b]eneficiary to work in H-1B status.

However, the regulations contain no provision for discretionary relief from the LCA requirements.

The petitioner's failure to procure a certified LCA prior to filing the H-1B petition precludes its approval. The AAO will not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.