

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

PUBLIC COPY



D2

FILE: LIN 04 139 50959 Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER Date: **MAY 09 2006**

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The director of the Nebraska Service Center denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is an international airfreight forwarder, with two employees and \$440,000 in gross annual income at the time of filing. It seeks to extend its employment of the beneficiary as an accountant pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for additional evidence; (3) counsel's response to the director's request; (4) the director's denial letter; and (5) Form I-290B, with counsel's brief. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The issue before the AAO is whether the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation. To meet its burden of proof in this regard, the petitioner must establish that the job it is offering to the beneficiary meets the following statutory and regulatory requirements.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

An occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or

- (4) The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term “degree” in the above criteria to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

To determine whether a particular job qualifies as a specialty occupation, CIS does not simply rely on a position’s title. The specific duties of the proffered position, combined with the nature of the petitioning entity’s business operations, are factors to be considered. CIS must examine the ultimate employment of the alien, and determine whether the position qualifies as a specialty occupation. *Cf. Defensor v. Meissner*, 201 F. 3d 384 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000). The critical element is not the title of the position nor an employer’s self-imposed standards, but whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specific specialty as the minimum for entry into the occupation, as required by the Act.

The petitioner seeks the beneficiary’s services as an accountant. Evidence of the beneficiary’s duties includes: the Form I-129; the petitioner’s April 6, 2004 letter in support of the Form I-129; and the list of duties included in counsel’s August 5, 2004 response to the director’s request for evidence. As stated in response to the director’s request for evidence, the duties of the proffered position would require the beneficiary to:

- Apply Generally Accepted Accounting Principles to analyze financial data;
- Apply Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and Financial Accounting Standards Board interpretations to analyze the petitioner’s finances and report findings in profit/loss statements and other accounting and financial reports;
- Apply Generally Accepted Accounting Principles to audit contracts, orders, vouchers and other financial documents to ensure that they are properly taken into account in the petitioner’s overall financial picture;
- Establish, modify, document and coordinate implementation of accounting and accounting control procedures;
- Advise management on accounting, budgeting and financial matters; and  
Perform other accounting duties as may be required from time to time.

To make its determination whether the employment just described qualifies as a specialty occupation, the AAO turns to the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) and (2): a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is the normal minimum requirement for entry into the particular position; and a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or a particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree. Factors considered by the AAO when determining these criteria include: whether the Department of Labor’s *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)*, on which the AAO routinely relies for the educational requirements of particular occupations, reports the industry requires a degree; whether the industry’s professional association has made a degree a minimum entry requirement; and whether letters or affidavits from firms or individuals in the industry attest that such firms “routinely employ and recruit only degreed individuals.” *See Shanti, Inc. v. Reno*, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1151, 1165 (D. Minn. 1999) (quoting *Hird/Blaker Corp. v. Sava*, 712 F. Supp. 1095, 1102 (S.D.N.Y. 1989)).

In his denial, the director found the duties described by the petitioner to reflect those of a bookkeeper, employment that the *Handbook* reports does not impose a degree requirement on those seeking employment.<sup>1</sup> The AAO does not agree. While, as discussed below, it does not find the record to demonstrate that the petitioner would employ the beneficiary in a position requiring a degreed accountant, the position described by the petitioner, nevertheless, includes accounting duties that are not performed by bookkeepers. Accordingly, it withdraws the director's finding in this regard.

The petitioner has stated that the proffered position is that of an accountant. To determine whether the duties of the proffered position support the petitioner's characterization of its employment, the AAO turns to the 2006-2007 edition of the *Handbook* for its discussion of management accountants, the category of accounting most closely aligned to the duties described by the petitioner. As stated by the *Handbook*, management accountants:

[r]ecord and analyze the financial information of the companies for which they work. Among their other responsibilities are budgeting, performance evaluation, cost management, and asset management . . . . They analyze and interpret the financial information that corporate executives need in order to make sound business decisions. They also prepare financial reports for other groups, including stockholders, creditors, regulatory agencies, and tax authorities. Within accounting departments, management accountants may work in various areas, including financial analysis, planning and budgeting, and cost accounting.<sup>2</sup>

The AAO finds the above discussion to be generally reflected in the petitioner's description of the duties of the proffered position and agrees that the petitioner's employment would require the beneficiary to have an understanding of accounting principles. However, not all accounting employment is performed by degreed accountants. Therefore, the performance of duties requiring accounting knowledge does not establish that the proffered position would impose a degree requirement on the beneficiary. The question is not whether the position requires knowledge of accounting principles, which it does, but rather whether it is one that normally requires the level of accounting knowledge that is signified by at least a bachelor's degree, or its equivalent, in accounting.

The *Handbook's* discussion of the occupation of accountants clearly indicates that accounting positions may be filled by individuals holding associate degrees or certificates, or who have acquired their accounting expertise through experience:

Capable accountants and auditors may advance rapidly; those having inadequate academic preparation may be assigned routine jobs and find promotion difficult. Many graduates of junior colleges or business or correspondence schools, as well as bookkeepers and accounting clerks who meet the education and experience requirements set by their employers, can obtain junior accounting positions and advance to positions with more responsibilities by demonstrating their accounting skills on the job.

It also notes in its description of the work performed by bookkeeping, accounting and auditing clerks that:

---

<sup>1</sup> *Occupational Outlook Handbook*, 2006-2007 Edition, at [www.bls.gov/oco/ocos144.htm](http://www.bls.gov/oco/ocos144.htm).

<sup>2</sup> *Ibid.*

Demand for full-charge bookkeepers is expected to increase, because they are called upon to do much of the work of accountants, as well as perform a wider variety of financial transactions, from payroll to billing. Those with several years of accounting or bookkeeper certification will have the best job prospects.<sup>3</sup>

Further proof of the range of academic backgrounds that may prepare an individual for accounting employment is provided by the credentialing practices of the Accreditation Council for Accountancy and Taxation (ACAT), an independent accrediting and monitoring organization affiliated with the National Society of Accountants. The ACAT does not require a degree in accounting or a related specialty to issue a credential as an Accredited Business Accountant® /Accredited Business Advisor® (ABA). Eligibility for the eight-hour comprehensive examination for the ABA credential requires only three years of “verifiable experience in accounting, taxation, financial services, or other fields requiring a practical and theoretical knowledge of the subject matter covered on the ACAT Comprehensive Examination.” Up to two of the required years of work experience may be satisfied through college credit.<sup>4</sup>

To determine whether the accounting knowledge required by the proffered position rises above that which may be acquired through experience or an associate’s degree in accounting,<sup>5</sup> the AAO turns to the record for information regarding the nature of the petitioner’s business operations. While the size of a petitioner’s business is normally not a factor in determining the nature of a proffered position, both its level of income and the extent of its business operations are appropriately reviewed when a petitioner seeks to employ an H-1B worker as an accountant. In cases where a petitioner’s business is relatively small, like that in the instant case, the AAO reviews the record for evidence that its operations, are, nevertheless, of sufficient scope and/or complexity to indicate that it would employ the beneficiary in an accounting position requiring a level of financial knowledge that may be obtained only through a baccalaureate degree in accounting or its equivalent.

At the time of filing the petitioner indicated that it was a business engaged in providing freight forwarding and custom brokerage services, with gross annual revenues of more than \$440,000. Accordingly, the AAO has reviewed the record for evidence of the petitioner’s income and its business/financial operations to determine whether the accounting employment described by the petitioner would impose a degree requirement on the beneficiary. However, the record contains no documentation that supports the petitioner’s claims regarding its income or that describes the nature and extent of the operations required to support its business.

---

<sup>3</sup> *Occupational Outlook Handbook*, 2006-2007 Edition, at [www.bls.gov/oco/ocos144.htm](http://www.bls.gov/oco/ocos144.htm).

<sup>4</sup> Information provided by the ACAT website (<http://www.acatcredentials.org/index.html>). The *Handbook* identifies the ACAT website as one of several “Sources of Additional Information” at the end of its discussion of the occupation of accountants.

<sup>5</sup>According to the website for Skyline College, a community college located in San Mateo, CA ([www.skylinecollege.net](http://www.skylinecollege.net)), an associate’s degree in business or accounting would involve learning the fundamentals about financial accounting principles and concepts, balance sheets, income statements, cash flow statements, the GAAP, forecasting, budgeting, cost accounting, break even analysis, developing and operating a computerized accounting system. Thus, an associate’s degree would provide knowledge about the GAAP and accounting techniques that serve the needs of management and facilitate decision-making.

As the record fails to provide any information that would establish the nature or extent of the business/financial operations with which the beneficiary would be required to deal, the duties of the proffered position may not be established as sufficiently complex to indicate that the petitioner would employ the beneficiary as a management accountant. Instead, as listed, they appear more closely aligned to generalized accounting responsibilities that may be performed by junior accountants, employment that does not impose a baccalaureate degree requirement on those seeking entry-level employment. Therefore, the petitioner has not established the proffered position as a specialty occupation under the first criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(A) – a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position.

To establish the proffered position as a specialty occupation under the second criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(A)(2), a petitioner must prove that a specific degree requirement is common to its industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or that the proffered position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree. In the instant case, counsel, in response to the director's request for evidence, asserted that the *Handbook's* discussion of the degree requirement for the occupation of accountants establishes the proffered position as a specialty occupation under the criterion's first prong. He contended that the *Handbook's* degree requirement does not distinguish between various industries or organizations and, therefore, applies to any industry or organization. Counsel's reasoning is not persuasive.

The proffered position is not that of a management accountant, but a junior accountant, employment that the *Handbook* indicates may be performed by individuals who have associate's degrees in accounting or who have an appropriate level of experience. Accordingly, the proffered position may not be established as a specialty occupation under the first prong of the second criterion based on the *Handbook's* discussion of the standard degree requirement for management, public and government accountants. As the petitioner has submitted no evidence to prove that its degree requirement for the proffered position is common in parallel positions among similar organizations, it has failed to establish the proffered position as a specialty occupation based on the practices in its industry.

The AAO also concludes that the record before it does not establish that the position qualifies as a specialty occupation under the second prong at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2) -- the position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree. It finds no evidence in the record that would support such a finding. Nor has the petitioner contended that this is the case. Accordingly, the petitioner has not established its position as a specialty occupation under either prong of the second criterion.

The AAO next considers the criteria at 8 C.F.R. §§ 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3) and(4): the employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; and the nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

To determine whether a proffered position may be established as a specialty occupation under the third criterion – the employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position – the AAO usually reviews the petitioner's past employment practices, as well as the histories, including names and dates of employment, of those employees with degrees who previously held the position, and copies of those employees' diplomas. In the instant case, the petitioner has submitted no evidence regarding its hiring practices. Counsel, however, has contended that the petitioner's April 6, 2004 letter of support, which states

that it normally requires the minimum of a baccalaureate degree for the proffered position, demonstrates its practice of hiring degreed individuals and that its statements are supported by the *Handbook's* finding that a degree requirement is "universal to the occupation of accountant." The petitioner's April 6, 2004 letter is, however, insufficient proof that it normally requires its accountants to hold the minimum of a baccalaureate in accounting or a related field. Moreover, as previously discussed, the *Handbook's* reporting of a degree requirement for management, public and government accountants does not establish a degree requirement for the proffered position of junior accountant. Accordingly, the record does not establish the proffered position as a specialty requirement under the third criterion.

The fourth criterion requires a petitioner to establish that the nature of the specific duties of its position is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform these duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree. Counsel has, again, asserted that the *Handbook's* degree requirement for accountants meets the specialized and complex threshold established by the criterion. However, as just noted, the degree requirement identified by the *Handbook* for accountants may not be used to demonstrate a degree requirement for the proffered position. Moreover, the AAO's review of the record finds no evidence to indicate that the beneficiary's duties would require greater knowledge or skill than that normally possessed by junior accountants, or that they represent a combination of jobs that would require the beneficiary to have a unique set of skills beyond those of a junior accountant. As a result, the record fails to establish that the duties of the proffered position do not establish it as a specialty occupation under the fourth criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4).

The AAO notes that the record contains evidence that the petitioner has been employing the beneficiary as an H-1B worker in the proffered position, based on a previously approved petition. CIS' approval of the earlier petition does not, however, establish a basis for approving the instant Form I-129.

The record does not indicate whether the director reviewed the record on which the prior decision was reached. However, if that record contained no evidence beyond that found in the instant case, the AAO finds CIS to have erred in approving the previously filed petition. CIS is not bound to approve applications or petitions where eligibility has not been demonstrated merely because of prior approvals that may have been erroneous. *See, e.g. Matter of Church Scientology International*, 19 I&N Dec. 593, 597 (Comm. 1988). Further, each petition filing is a separate proceeding with a separate record and CIS is limited to the information contained in that record in reaching its decision. 8 C.F.R. §§ 103.2(b)(16)(ii) and 103.8(d). Moreover, the AAO's authority over the director is comparable to the relationship between a court of appeals and a district court. Even if a director had approved a nonimmigrant petition on behalf of a previous beneficiary, the AAO would not be bound to follow that decision. *Louisiana Philharmonic Orchestra v. INS*, 2000 WL 282785 (E.D.La.), *aff'd*, 248, F.3d 1139 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001), *cert. denied*, 122 S.Ct. 51 (2001).

For reasons related in the preceding discussion, the petitioner has failed to establish the proffered position as a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.