



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**




D2

FILE: SRC 05 079 51518 Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: **OCT 27 2006**

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a developer, marketer, and distributor of cosmetic and beauty products and accessories that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a marketing/sales analyst. The petitioner, therefore, endeavors to extend the beneficiary's nonimmigrant classification as a worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) the Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner's response to the director's request; (4) the director's denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

Counsel submitted the Form I-290B on June 21, 2005. Counsel marked the box at section two of the Form I-290B to indicate that a brief and/or evidence would be sent within 60 days. The AAO did not receive this additional brief and/or evidence. As such, the AAO faxed a follow-up letter to counsel's office on September 14, 2006, requesting that the brief and/or additional evidence be sent within five business days.

Counsel did not respond to the AAO's facsimile. Thus, the AAO deems the record complete and ready for adjudication.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The only new document submitted on appeal is the Form I-290B, which states the following:

- Decision not in accord with evidence.
- Examiner ignored expert testimony.
- Arbitrary and capricious.

Counsel has failed to identify any specific erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. As no additional evidence is presented on appeal to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.