



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy  
**PUBLIC COPY**

DZ

FEB 02 2007

FILE: WAC 05 132 50971 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF - REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is an adult day healthcare center. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a market research specialist and endeavors to classify her as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition stating that the proffered position does not qualify as a specialty occupation. On appeal, the petitioner submits a brief and additional information stating that the offered position qualifies as a specialty occupation.

The issue to be discussed in this proceeding is whether the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides, in part, for the classification of qualified nonimmigrant aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

[A]n occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;

- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties are so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term “degree” in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

To determine whether a particular job qualifies as a specialty occupation, CIS does not simply rely on a position’s title. The specific duties of the proffered position, combined with the nature of the petitioning entity’s business operations, are factors to be considered. CIS must examine the ultimate employment of the alien, and determine whether the position qualifies as a specialty occupation. *Cf. Defensor v. Meissner*, 201 F.3d 384 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000). The critical element is not the title of the position nor an employer’s self-imposed standards, but whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specific specialty as the minimum for entry into the occupation, as required by the Act.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) the Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director’s request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner’s response to the director’s request; (4) the director’s denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B with counsel’s brief. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary’s services as a market research specialist. Evidence of the beneficiary’s duties includes the Form I-129 petition with attachment and the petitioner’s response to the director’s request for evidence. According to this evidence the beneficiary would:

Collect data and statistics on customer preferences and needs, buying habits, demographics, competitors on prices and sales, and the economic impact of healthcare within the serving region from various sources;

- Conduct market research to determine customer perceptions and awareness of the company, healthcare program/service preferences and selection factors;
- Determine research methodology and tools for obtaining data statistics such as surveys, interviews, and questionnaires;
- Analyze collected data and statistics and forecast future trends in the healthcare industry, sales and demands on various healthcare programs and services of the company;
- Assess customer satisfaction on the services and programs, effectiveness of business and marketing strategies based on research results; and

- Prepare market research reports and make recommendations based upon the findings to management.

The petitioner requires a minimum of a bachelor's degree in business (Master's degree preferred) for entry into the proffered position.

The petitioner noted in its response to the director's request for evidence, that the beneficiary will be providing marketing research for three other healthcare facilities owned by it. While the petitioner did submit evidence that the referenced facilities have been incorporated, the record contains no evidence to establish that those facilities are licensed in the State of California, that they are in fact operating businesses, or evidence of the scope of their operations (number of patients, etc.). As such, those operations will not be considered in determining whether the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation. Simply going on the record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for purposes of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Soffici*, 22 I&N Dec. 158, 165 (Comm. 1998) (citing *Matter of Treasure Craft of California*, 14 I&N 190 (Reg. Comm. 1972)).

To determine whether the duties described are those of a specialty occupation, the AAO first considers the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) and (2): a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is the normal minimum requirement for entry into the particular position; and a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or a particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree. Factors often considered by CIS when determining these criteria include: whether the Department of Labor's *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)* reports that the industry requires a degree; whether an industry professional association has made a degree a minimum entry requirement; and whether letters or affidavits from firms or individuals in the industry attest that such firms "routinely employ and recruit only degreed individuals." See *Shanti, Inc. v. Reno*, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1151, 1165 (D. Minn. 1999) (quoting *Hird/Blaker Corp. v. Sava*, 712 F. Supp. 1095, 1102 (S.D.N.Y. 1989)).

As the petitioner has characterized its position as that of a market research analyst, the AAO first turns to the *Handbook's* description of market and survey researchers, the occupational title that is most closely related to the proffered position. The *Handbook*, 2006 – 07 edition, at page 175, describes the occupation of market or marketing research analysts as follows:

Market, or marketing, research analysts are concerned with the potential sales of a product or service. Gathering statistical data on competitors and examining prices, sales, and methods of marketing and distribution, they analyze data on past sales to predict future sales. Market research analysts devise methods and procedures for obtaining the data they need. Often, they design telephone, mail or Internet surveys to assess consumer preferences. They conduct some surveys as personal interviews, going door-to-door, leading focus group discussions, or setting up booths in public places such as shopping malls. Trained interviewers usually conduct the surveys under the market research analyst's direction.

The AAO finds the petitioner's description of the duties of its proffered position to reflect the type of activities generally performed by market research analysts. The occupation of market research analyst may be a specialty occupation, normally requiring those seeking employment in the private sector to have a

minimum of a bachelor's degree for entry into the occupation.<sup>1</sup> However, while the petitioner has identified its position as that of a market research analyst, its description of the beneficiary's duties is so generic and nonspecific that it precludes the AAO from determining precisely what tasks the beneficiary would perform for the petitioner on a daily basis. A petitioner cannot establish its employment as a specialty occupation by describing the duties of that employment in the same general terms as those used in the *Handbook* or the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles (DOT)* in discussing an occupational title, e.g., collect data and statistics on customer preferences, needs, buying habits, competitor prices and sales; determine research methodology and tools for obtaining data statistics such as surveys, interviews, and questionnaires; and analyze collected data to predict future sales. While the petitioner indicates that the beneficiary would assess the potential sales for products and services and study past statistics to predict future sales, it offers no indication of what the petitioner would require of the beneficiary in performing this duty, what type of data the petitioner needs to determine potential sales of a product or service, or what type of market research would be performed. The petitioner states that the beneficiary would gather data on competitors and prepare market research reports and make recommendations based upon her findings to management. Again, the petitioner does not explain what it would require of the beneficiary in performing this task, what type of data would be gathered on competitors and how the data would be gathered, or what type of information or the source of information that would be provided to management in the form of recommendations. Without this type of description, the AAO is unable to determine whether the responsibilities of the proffered position would require the beneficiary to hold the minimum of a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent in a specific field to perform them. Accordingly, the record does not establish that the offered position qualifies as a specialty occupation under the first criterion of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

The AAO now turns to a consideration of whether the proffered position may qualify as a specialty occupation under either of the prongs of the second criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) – establish that a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations, or that the proffered position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree. The petitioner submitted copies of job advertisements for market research analyst and related positions to establish that a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations. None of those advertisements, however, are from organizations similar to the petitioner. The advertisements are, therefore, of little evidentiary value and do not establish this prong. Further, the AAO also concludes that the record before it does not establish that the position qualifies as a specialty occupation under the second prong at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2) – the position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree. It finds no evidence in the record that would support such a finding. Accordingly, the petitioner has not established its position as a specialty occupation under either prong of the second criterion.

The AAO now considers the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3) and (4): the employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; and the nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

---

<sup>1</sup> The 2006–2007 *Handbook* does not state that the degree must be in a particular specialty, as required for a specialty occupation.

To determine the petitioner's ability to meet the third criterion, the AAO normally reviews the petitioner's past employment practices, as well as the histories, including names and dates of employment, of those employees with degrees who previously held the position, and copies of those employee's diplomas. The petitioner has not established this criterion, however, as the offered position is new. The petitioner has not established the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3).

The fourth criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) requires a petitioner to establish that the nature of the specific duties of the position is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree. The duties of the proffered position as described are too generic to determine what specific tasks would be performed by the beneficiary. The generic description of the duties of the proffered position discussed under the first criterion at 8 C.F.R. §214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) also makes it impossible to evaluate these duties under the specialized and complex threshold of the fourth criterion. Accordingly, the AAO concludes that the proffered position is not a specialty occupation under the requirements of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4).

The proffered position does not meet any of the requirements of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Accordingly, the director's denial of the I-129 petition shall not be disturbed.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has failed to sustain that burden and the appeal shall accordingly be dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.