


identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

PUBLIC COPY



D2

FILE: EAC 06 172 52684 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: **NOV 28 2007**

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a real estate office that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a real estate manager. The petitioner, therefore, endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition concluding that the beneficiary does not qualify to perform the duties of a specialty occupation.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains (1) the Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner's response to the director's request; (4) the director's denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B and supporting documentation. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C), to qualify to perform services in a specialty occupation, an alien must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) Hold a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;
- (2) Hold a foreign degree determined to be equivalent to a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;
- (3) Hold an unrestricted state license, registration, or certification which authorizes him or her to fully practice the specialty occupation and be immediately engaged in that specialty in the state of intended employment; or
- (4) Have education, specialized training, and/or progressively responsible experience that is equivalent to completion of a United States baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty occupation, and have recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions directly related to the specialty.

The petitioner submitted a credentials evaluation from A & M Logos International Inc., which found the beneficiary's foreign education equivalent to an associate's degree in engineering technology.

The beneficiary is unqualified under 8 C.F.R. §§ 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C)(1), (2), and (3), as she did not earn a degree in the United States, her degree has not been determined equivalent to a bachelor's degree earned from an accredited college or university in the United States, and she does not possess an unrestricted state license, registration, or certification authorizing her to fully practice the occupation.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C)(4), requires a demonstration that the beneficiary's education, specialized training, and/or progressively responsible experience is equivalent to the completion of a United States baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty occupation, and that the beneficiary also has recognition of that expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions directly related to the specialty.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D), equating a beneficiary's credentials to a United States baccalaureate or higher degree is determined by one or more of the following:

- (1) An evaluation from an official who has authority to grant college-level credit for training and/or experience in the specialty at an accredited college or university which has a program for granting such credit based on an individual's training and/or work experience;
- (2) The results of recognized college-level equivalency examinations or special credit programs, such as the College Level Examination Program (CLEP), or Program on Noncollegiate Sponsored Instruction (PONSI);
- (3) An evaluation of education by a reliable credentials evaluation service which specializes in evaluating foreign educational credentials;
- (4) Evidence of certification or registration from a nationally-recognized professional association or society for the specialty that is known to grant certification or registration to persons in the occupational specialty who have achieved a certain level of competence in the specialty;
- (5) A determination by the Service that the equivalent of the degree required by the specialty occupation has been acquired through a combination of education, specialized training, and/or work experience in areas related to the specialty and that the alien has achieved recognition of expertise in the specialty occupation as a result of such training and experience.

The beneficiary does not qualify under any of these criteria. First, the AAO notes that no evidence has been presented to establish that the beneficiary qualifies under 8 C.F.R. §§ 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(1), (2) or (4).

The petitioner submitted an evaluation from A & Logos International, Inc., which found the beneficiary's foreign education equivalent to an associate's degree, which is the equivalent of two years of academic study in the United States. Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(3), a credentials evaluation service may evaluate educational credentials only, thus the evaluator did not determine if the beneficiary's work experience was equivalent to any additional years of academic studies.

When CIS determines an alien's qualifications pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(5), three years of specialized training and/or work experience must be demonstrated for each year of college-level training the alien lacks. It must be clearly demonstrated that the alien's training and/or work experience included the theoretical and practical application of specialized knowledge required by the specialty, whether it was gained while working with peers, supervisors, or subordinates who held a bachelor's degree or its equivalent in the specialty, and whether the beneficiary achieved recognition of expertise in the field as evidenced by at least one of the five types of documentation delineated in sections (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), or (v) of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(5).

However, the beneficiary's resume and the letters of employment contained in the record do not establish that the beneficiary's previous work experiences included the theoretical and practical application of specialty knowledge required by the occupation, that it was gained while working with peers, supervisors,

or subordinates who held degrees, or that she achieved recognition of expertise in a management field as described at section (v) of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(iv)(D)(5).

Therefore, the petitioner has not demonstrated that the beneficiary qualifies to perform the duties of a specialty occupation. For this reason, the petition may not be approved.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.