

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy


U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529




U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

*Dr*




FILE: WAC 07 128 53460 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: **AUG 01 2008**

IN RE: Petitioner:   
Beneficiary: 


PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

*for*   
Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days of service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The record indicates that the director issued the decision on August 17, 2007. It is noted that the instructions on the Form I-290B (Notice of Appeal) gave notice to the petitioner that it had 33 days to file the appeal. Although counsel signed the appeal on September 18, 2007, it was received by Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) on September 20, 2007, or 34 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

An untimely filed appeal must meet specific requirements to be treated as a motion. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2) requires that a motion to reopen state the new facts to be provided in the reopened proceeding, supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Furthermore, 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3) requires that a motion to reconsider must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or CIS policy.

Review of the record indicates that the appeal does not meet either of these requirements.

The director denied the petition determining that the petitioner had not established that it qualifies as a U.S. employer or agent, or that the proffered position is a specialty occupation.

On appeal, counsel states that the petitioner would submit to the AAO within 30 days additional documentation, namely a letter from the end-client or a contract between the petitioner's client and the end-client for whom the beneficiary would provide his services. To date, no further documents have been received by the AAO. Counsel does not state new facts, supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Furthermore, counsel neither states a clear reason for reconsideration nor provides any precedent decision to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or CIS policy. For these reasons, the director appropriately declined to treat the appeal as a motion to reopen or reconsider.

As the appeal was untimely filed and the petitioner has failed to provide any new facts or evidence that support a motion to reopen, the appeal must be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected as untimely filed.