



D4

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
ULLB, 3rd Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20536



Public Copy

MAR 16 2001

File: SRC 01 011 55485 Office: Texas Service Center Date:

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiaries: [Redacted]

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Self-represented

Identification data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

INSTRUCTIONS:


This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,  
EXAMINATIONS

  
for Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, who certified the decision to the Associate Commissioner for Examinations for review. The decision of the director will be affirmed.

The petitioner is a hotel. It seeks to employ the beneficiaries as housekeepers for 11 months. The certifying officer of the Department of Labor declined to issue a labor certification because the petitioner has a permanent need for these workers. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the need for the services to be performed is temporary.

On certification, counsel submitted additional documentation to support its seasonal need for workers.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii), defines an H-2B temporary worker as:

an alien...having a residence in a foreign country which he has no intention of abandoning, who is coming temporarily to the United States to perform other temporary service or labor if unemployed persons capable of performing such service or labor cannot be found in this country, but this clause shall not apply to graduates of medical schools coming to the United States to perform services as members of the medical profession....

Matter of Artee Corp., 18 I&N Dec. 366 (Comm. 1982), as codified in current regulations at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(6)(ii), specified that the test for determining whether an alien is coming "temporarily" to the United States to "perform temporary services or labor" is whether the need of the petitioner for the duties to be performed is temporary. It is the nature of the need, not the nature of the duties, that is controlling. See 55 Fed. Reg. 2616 (1990).

As a general rule, the period of the petitioner's need must be a year or less, although there may be extraordinary circumstances where the temporary services or labor might last longer than one year. The petitioner's need for the services or labor must be a one-time occurrence, a seasonal need, a peakload need, or an intermittent need. 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(6)(ii)(B).

The petitioner states that in November and December, there is a peakload labor need in its housekeeping department. The petition indicates that the employment is seasonal and that the temporary need is recurring annually.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(6)(ii)(B)(3) states that for the nature of the petitioner's need to be a peakload need, the

petitioner must establish that it regularly employs permanent workers to perform the services or labor at the place of employment and that it needs to supplement its permanent staff at the place of employment on a temporary basis due to a seasonal or short-term demand and that the temporary additions to staff will not become a part of the petitioner's regular operation.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(6)(ii)(B)(2) states that for the nature of the petitioner's need to be a seasonal need, the petitioner must establish that the services or labor is traditionally tied to a season of the year by an event or pattern and is of a recurring nature. The petitioner shall specify the period(s) of time during each year in which it does not need the services or labor. The employment is not seasonal if the period during which the services or labor is not needed is unpredictable or subject to change or is considered a vacation period for the petitioner's permanent employees.

The nontechnical description of the job on the Application for Alien Employment Certification (Form ETA 750) reads "will clean rooms. Vacuum. Dust. Mop and sweep. Empty trash cans. Restock rooms of towels and toiletries. Clean bathrooms. Change bed linens."

The petitioner intends to temporarily employ the beneficiaries from September 1, 2000 until July 31, 2001. The petitioner's need for the services of housekeepers for a period of 11 months out of the year cannot be considered a seasonal need or short-term demand. The petition indicates that the petitioner regularly employs a permanent staff of 18 employees. However, the petitioner has not established that it only needs to supplement its permanent staff on a temporary basis. The petitioner is required to demonstrate that its intention is to employ the specific beneficiaries for only a temporary period. Here, the petitioner has not established that it needs to employ the beneficiaries for only a temporary period.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. Here, the petitioner has not met that burden.

**ORDER:** The decision of the director is affirmed. The visa petition is denied.