



U.S. Department of Justice  
Immigration and Naturalization Service

D4

**PUBLIC COPY**

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
ULLB, 3rd Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20536



**FEB 28 2003**

File: SRC 02 236 52215 Office: Texas Service Center Date:

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiaries: [Redacted]

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER: [Redacted]

**Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy**

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,  
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a forestry contractor. It desires to employ the beneficiaries as forestry workers for nine months. The petition was not accompanied by the required Labor Certification, ETA-750. The director denied the petition because the petitioner had not submitted the required certification or the Department of Labor's notice that such certification cannot be made.

On appeal, counsel submitted a copy of the Department of Labor Final Determination and Form ETA-750. Therefore, the objection of the director has now been satisfied. However, the petition may not be approved for other reasons beyond the decision of the director.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii), defines an H-2B temporary worker as:

an alien...having a residence in a foreign country which he has no intention of abandoning, who is coming temporarily to the United States to perform other temporary service or labor if unemployed persons capable of performing such service or labor cannot be found in this country, but this clause shall not apply to graduates of medical schools coming to the United States to perform services as members of the medical profession....

*Matter of Artee Corp.*, 18 I&N Dec. 366 (Comm. 1982), codified in current regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(6)(ii), specified that the test for determining whether an alien is coming "temporarily" to the United States to "perform temporary services or labor" is whether the need of the petitioner for the duties to be performed is temporary. It is the nature of the need, not the nature of the duties, that is controlling. See 55 Fed. Reg. 2616 (1990).

As a general rule, the period of the petitioner's need must be a year or less, although there may be extraordinary circumstances where the temporary services or labor might last longer than one year. The petitioner's need for the services or labor must be a one-time occurrence, a seasonal need, a peakload need, or an intermittent need. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(6)(ii)(B). The petition indicates that the employment is seasonal and the temporary need recurs annually.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(6)(ii)(B)(2) states that for the nature of the petitioner's need to be seasonal, the petitioner must establish that the services or labor is traditionally tied to

a season of the year by an event or pattern and is of a recurring nature. The petitioner shall specify the period(s) of time during each year in which it does not need the services or labor. The employment is not seasonal if the period during which the services or labor is not needed is unpredictable or subject to change or is considered a vacation period for the petitioner's permanent employees.

The nontechnical description of the job on the Application for Alien Employment Certification (Form ETA 750) reads:

Cut out diseased, weak, or undesirable trees and brush using powersaw, fell trees, clears brush from fire break, and extinguishes flames and embers to suppress forest fires. Spray and herbicide trees to kill brush, plant tree seedlings by hand for reforest timberland, digs planting hole at determined space interval using dibble bar.

Tree seedling pulling:

Workers will place seedlings carefully in tubs and load the tubs on haul-in trailer, workers will remove, culls trees and place seedlings in bundles. Workers may mark trees with spray paint to denote boundary line.

Upon review, the duties are shown to be ongoing. The petitioner has a permanent need for workers to perform forestry services, which is the specific nature of the petitioner's business. The services to be rendered cannot be classified as seasonal work as the petitioner has not shown the services or labor to be traditionally tied to a season of the year by an event or pattern.

Further, the petitioner has not been shown to be the actual employer. The petition indicates the petitioner is a forestry contractor. To do this, the petitioner will require a permanent cadre of employees available to fill the positions on a continuing basis. In this instance, it is the petitioner's business to supply workers. The ETA-750 indicates that the beneficiaries will work in Mississippi, Alabama, Tennessee, South Carolina, and Louisiana. Consequently, the petitioner has a permanent need to have workers available to perform the requisite labor or services at other work sites. The petitioner has not established that its need for the beneficiaries' labor or services is temporary.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, the petitioner has not met that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.