


PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
425 Eye Street N.W.
BCIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
Washington, D.C. 20536



File: SRC 03 056 50108 Office: Texas Service Center

Date:

MAY 19 2003

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiaries



Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Self-represented


INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.


Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner operates a forestry business. It desires to employ the beneficiaries as tree planters for eight months. The petition was not accompanied by the required labor certification, Form ETA-750. The director denied the petition because the petitioner had not submitted the required certification or the Department of Labor's notice that such certification cannot be made.

On appeal, the petitioner states that he forgot to submit his labor contractor certification of registration, or it was overlooked.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(6)(iv)(A) requires that a petition for temporary employment in the United States be accompanied by a temporary labor certification from the Department of Labor, or notice detailing the reasons why such certification cannot be made.

The petition was filed on December 16, 2002 without a temporary labor certification, or notice detailing the reasons why such certification cannot be made. Absent such certification from the Department of Labor or notice detailing the reasons why such certification cannot be made, the petition cannot be approved.

On appeal, the petitioner submits a copy of his Farm Labor Contractor Certificate of Registration. However, the regulation requires that, prior to filing a petition with the director to classify an alien as an H-2B worker, the petitioner must apply for a temporary labor certificate with the Secretary of Labor for all areas in the United States, except the Territory of Guam. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(6)(iii)(A). The record does not contain such certification.

This petition may not be approved for another reason beyond the decision of the director. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(2)(iii) states in pertinent part that:

Named beneficiaries. Nonagricultural petitions must include the names of beneficiaries and other required information at the time of filing. Under the H-2B classification, exceptions may be granted in emergent situations involving multiple beneficiaries at the discretion of the director, and in special filing situations as determined by the Service's Headquarters.

The decision to allow unnamed beneficiaries on an H-2B petition should be based on evidence from the petitioner clearly describing

unnamed beneficiaries on an H-2B petition should be based on valid business reasons.

In this case, the petitioner has not justified why the beneficiaries are unnamed on the petition. The petitioner has not presented valid business reasons that would allow the director to waive the names of the temporary nonagricultural workers at the time of filing. For this additional reason, the petition may not be approved.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, the petitioner has not met that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.