



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy




PUBLIC COPY

D4

DEC 07 2004

FILE: LIN 04 071 51866 Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiaries:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The director's decision will be withdrawn although the petition is now moot.

The petitioner engages in the business of freight transportation. It desires to employ the beneficiaries as tractor-trailer truck drivers for three months. The director determined that the regulations did not allow the substitution of one beneficiary for another.

On appeal, the petitioner's representative states that the petitioner was approved for 36 H-2B visas in November 2003. The petitioner's representative also states that the approval was submitted with the appeal and is valid until April 15, 2004. The petitioner's representative requests that the petition be approved for one worker, as the request for the other worker has been withdrawn.

The record contains a copy of the Notice of Action, Form I-797, showing the petitioner had been approved for 35 H-2B workers from November 19, 2003 until April 15, 2004. In a letter dated January 12, 2004, the petitioner requested the substitution of two of the previously approved workers, whose visas had not been issued, with the beneficiaries named in this petition. The beneficiaries named in this petition are currently in the United States, in H-2B status, working for another employer.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(2)(i) states in pertinent part:

*(D) Change of employers.* If the alien is in the United States and seeks to change employers, the prospective new employer must file a petition on Form I-129 requesting classification and extension of the alien's stay in the United States. If the new petition is approved, the extension of stay may be granted for the validity of the approved petition. . . .

The petitioner's representative indicates on appeal that the petitioner desires to employ only one of the listed beneficiaries, Paul Popelka. The petitioner followed the proper procedure and filed Form I-129 to request H-2B classification and extension of the beneficiary's stay in the United States. Since the regulations do allow for a change of employers if the beneficiary is maintaining his previously authorized nonimmigrant status in the United States, the director should have considered the petitioner's request. However, this petition may not be approved for another reason.

The regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(2)(i) states in pertinent part:

*(E) Amended or new petition.* . . . An amended or new H-1C, H-1B, H-2A, or H-2B petition must be accompanied by a current or new Department of Labor (DOL) determination. . . .

The Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker, Form I-129, was filed on January 15, 2004 without the current DOL determination. To remand this case to the director for further action and consideration would have no practical effect because the period of requested employment (January 21, 2004 until April 15, 2004) has passed. Therefore, the petition is denied.

**ORDER:** The petition is denied because the matter is moot due to the passage of time.