



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

Handwritten initials or mark.



FILE: EAC 03 195 53759 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: OCT 01 2004

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy
PUBLIC COPY

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The petitioner filed a motion to reopen, which the director granted. The director affirmed her previous decision denying the petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a tunnel and underground structure design and engineering company that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a trainee. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker trainee pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(iii). The director determined that the training program is general in nature, with no fixed schedule, objectives, or means of evaluation.

On appeal, counsel submits a brief stating that the director erred in making these determinations.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(iii), provides classification for an alien having a residence in a foreign country, which he or she has no intention of abandoning, who is coming temporarily to the United States as a trainee, other than to receive graduate medical education or training, in a training program that is not designed primarily to provide productive employment.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(7) states, in pertinent part:

(ii) Evidence required for petition involving alien trainee--(A) Conditions. The petitioner is required to demonstrate that:

- (1) The proposed training is not available in the alien's own country;
- (2) The beneficiary will not be placed in a position which is in the normal operation of the business and in which citizens and resident workers are regularly employed;
- (3) The beneficiary will not engage in productive employment unless such employment is incidental and necessary to the training; and
- (4) The training will benefit the beneficiary in pursuing a career outside the United States.

(B) Description of training program. Each petition for a trainee must include a statement which:

- (1) Describes the type of training and supervision to be given, and the structure of the training program;
- (2) Sets forth the proportion of time that will be devoted to productive employment;

- (3) Shows the number of hours that will be spent, respectively, in classroom instruction and in on-the-job training;
 - (4) Describes the career abroad for which the training will prepare the alien;
 - (5) Indicates the reasons why such training cannot be obtained in the alien's country and why it is necessary for the alien to be trained in the United States; and
 - (6) Indicates the source of any remuneration received by the trainee and any benefit, which will accrue to the petitioner for providing the training.
- (iii) Restrictions on training program for alien trainee. A training program may not be approved which:
- (A) Deals in generalities with no fixed schedule, objectives, or means of evaluation;
 - (B) Is incompatible with the nature of the petitioner's business or enterprise;
 - (C) Is on behalf of a beneficiary who already possesses substantial training and expertise in the proposed field of training;
 - (D) Is in a field in which it is unlikely that the knowledge or skill will be used outside the United States;
 - (E) Will result in productive employment beyond that which is incidental and necessary to the training;
 - (F) Is designed to recruit and train aliens for the ultimate staffing of domestic operations in the United States;
 - (G) Does not establish that the petitioner has the physical plant and sufficiently trained manpower to provide the training specified; or
 - (H) Is designed to extend the total allowable period of practical training previously authorized a nonimmigrant student.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner's response to the director's request; (4) the director's denial letter; (5) the petitioner's motion to reconsider; (6) the director's decision affirming the denial of the petition; and (7) Form I-290B and supporting documentation. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The director stated that the training program is general in nature, without a fixed schedule, objectives or means of evaluation. The petitioner submitted a description of the training with its motion to reopen, and it included general objectives and a proposed means of evaluation. The petitioner had previously submitted a draft schedule which, as the director noted in her first decision, is general in nature and provides for no advancement or change in the training over its duration. There is no indication in the proposed training of how long would be spent on meeting each objective. While the schedule indicates the general percentage of time spent in classroom instruction, on-the-job training, and incidental employment, it provides little detail about how the training would actually occur or what the structure of the training would be. The schedule would need to be broken down into significantly more discrete segments, with more information about how the time would be utilized to meet the terms of the regulations.

The AAO notes that counsel states that the beneficiary is currently participating in the two-year training program. If the beneficiary has been engaged in the paid training program prior to receiving a visa in a classification that allows employment, the beneficiary has violated the terms of her B-1 visitor's visa.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.