



**PUBLIC COPY**

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

*D6*

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
ULLB, 3rd Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20536

[REDACTED]

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

FILE [REDACTED] Office: Vermont Service Center

Date: **FEB 28 2003**

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) under Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[REDACTED]

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

*Robert R. Wiemann*  
Robert R. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a native and citizen of the United States. The beneficiary is a native and citizen of Russia. The director denied the petition after determining that the petitioner and the beneficiary had not met each other within the two-year period prior to the June 29, 2001, filing date of the visa petition.

On appeal, counsel submits a statement from the petitioner in which he describes his coronary artery disease, his triple bypass surgery in October 1996 and his physician's assertion that it would be dangerous for him to make an 11 hour plane flight to Moscow.

Section 101(a) (15) (K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a) (15) (K), provides nonimmigrant classification to an alien who:

(i) is the fiancé(e) of a U.S. citizen and who seeks to enter the United States solely to conclude a valid marriage with that citizen within 90 days after admission;

(ii) has concluded a valid marriage with a citizen of the United States who is the petitioner, is the beneficiary of a petition to accord a status under section 201(b) (2) (A) (i) that was filed under section 204 by the petitioner, and seeks to enter the United States to await the approval of such petition and the availability to the alien of an immigrant visa; or

(iii) is the minor child of an alien described in clause (i) or (ii) and is accompanying, or following to join, the alien.

Section 214(d) of the Act, 8 U.S.C § 1184(d), provides that the petitioner must establish that he or she and the beneficiary have met in person within two years immediately before the petition is filed.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(k) (2), the petitioner may be exempted from this requirement for a meeting if it is established that compliance would:

- (1) result in extreme hardship to the petitioner;  
or
- (2) that compliance would violate strict and long-established customs of the beneficiary's foreign culture or social practice, as where marriages are traditionally arranged by the parents of the contracting parties and the prospective bride and groom are prohibited

from meeting subsequent to the arrangement and prior to the wedding day. In addition to establishing that the required meeting would be a violation of custom or practice, the petitioner must also establish that any and all other aspects of the traditional arrangements have been or will be met in accordance with the custom or practice.

The burden is on the petitioner to provide satisfactory evidence that extreme hardship would be imposed on him to comply with the two-year requirement. The petitioner's medical evidence consisted of two brief letters from his physician, one of which stated that he could not fly because it would be a new experience for him, and could cause stress on his heart. The medical evidence does not overcome the director's grounds for denial.

The petitioner has not provided adequate reasons why the two-year requirement stipulated by law should be waived. Therefore, the appeal will be dismissed. This action is taken without prejudice to consideration of a new and fully documented fiancée visa petition.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.