



PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service



Dx

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

File:  Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: **FEB 28 2003**
(WAC 98 172 51611 relates)

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiary: 

Petition: Petition for Alien Fiance(e) Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(K)
of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. §
1101(a)(15)(K)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:


This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS


Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native and citizen of the Philippines, as the fiance(e) of a United States citizen pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the petition after determining that the petitioner and the beneficiary had not personally met within two years before the date of filing the petition, as required by section 214(d) of the Act. In reaching this conclusion, the director found that the petitioner's failure to comply with the statutory requirement was not the result of extreme hardship to the petitioner or unique circumstances.

8 C.F.R. § 214.2(k)(2) states, in pertinent part:

Requirement that petitioner and beneficiary have met. The petitioner shall establish to the satisfaction of the director that the petitioner and beneficiary have met in person within the two years immediately preceding the filing of the petition.

The petitioner filed the Petition for Alien Fiance(e) (Form I-129F) with the Service on June 4, 1998. Therefore, the petitioner and the beneficiary were required to have met during the period that began on June 4, 1996 and ended on June 4, 1998.

In response to Question #19 on the Form I-129F, the petitioner stated that he and the beneficiary had never personally met. In response to the director's request for additional information, the petitioner stated that although he and the beneficiary had not met, they had been pen pals for two years.

On appeal, the petitioner indicates that he intends to travel to the Philippines in March 1999 in order to meet the beneficiary. He states that he could not travel before that time because he was taking care of his invalid father, who has since died.

It is important to emphasize that the regulation at section 214.2(k)(2) requires the petitioner to prove that he last met the beneficiary no more than two years *prior* to the filing date of the petition. In the instant case, the relevant two-year period is June 4, 1996 to June 4, 1998. According to the petitioner, he intended to visit the beneficiary in March 1999, nine months *after* having filed the petition. Although the petitioner and beneficiary will have met, the meeting did not occur within the relevant two-year period. Therefore, the appeal will be dismissed.



Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(k)(2), the denial of the petition is without prejudice. The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.