

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC CASE

D6



FILE:



Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

MAY 2008

WAC 04 046 53390

IN RE:

Petitioner:



Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

The petitioner is a naturalized citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native and citizen of Afghanistan, as the fiancée of a United States citizen pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the petition after determining that the record failed to establish that the petitioner and the beneficiary had personally met within two years before the date of filing the petition, as required by section 214(d) of the Act. *Decision of the Director*, dated June 17, 2004.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days of service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision is mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

As already noted, the record indicates that the director issued his decision on June 17, 2004 and informed the petitioner that she had 33 days to file an appeal. The record indicates that the appeal was received by the Western Service Center on August 12, 2004, 56 days after the director denied the petition. Therefore, the petitioner has not met the filing requirements for an appeal.

A review of the record shows that the appeal was received by the AAO directly from the applicant prior to July 12, 2004 and that she subsequently submitted it to the Nebraska Service Center prior to August 4, 2004. However, the director's denial properly gave notice to the applicant that she must submit any appeal of his decision to the originating office, i.e., the Western Service Center. An appeal is not properly filed until it is received by the appropriate office.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the director. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). The director declined to treat the late appeal as a motion and forwarded the matter to the AAO.

As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.