

Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy


U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

DC

**PUBLIC COPY**



FILE: [REDACTED]  
EAC 05 091 51004

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: JUL 05 2006

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be sustained.

The petitioner is a naturalized citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native and citizen of Jamaica, as the fiancée of a United States citizen pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the petition after determining that the petitioner had failed to establish that he and the beneficiary had personally met within the two-year period preceding the date of filing the petition, as required by section 214(d) of the Act, or that he was eligible for an exemption from the meeting requirement under the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(k)(2). *Decision of the Director*, dated May 3, 2005.

Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K), provides nonimmigrant classification to an alien who:

- (i) is the fiancé(e) of a U.S. citizen and who seeks to enter the United States solely to conclude a valid marriage with that citizen within 90 days after admission;
- (ii) has concluded a valid marriage with a citizen of the United States who is the petitioner, is the beneficiary of a petition to accord a status under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) that was filed under section 204 by the petitioner, and seeks to enter the United States to await the approval of such petition and the availability to the alien of an immigrant visa; or
- (iii) is the minor child of an alien described in clause (i) or (ii) and is accompanying, or following to join, the alien.

Section 214(d) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(d), states, in pertinent part, that a fiancé(e) petition:

. . . shall be approved only after satisfactory evidence is submitted by the petitioner to establish that the parties have previously met in person within two years before the date of filing the petition, have a bona fide intention to marry, and are legally able and actually willing to conclude a valid marriage in the United States within a period of ninety days after the alien's arrival. . . .

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(k)(2), the petitioner may be exempted from this requirement for a meeting if it is established that compliance would:

- (1) result in extreme hardship to the petitioner; or
- (2) that compliance would violate strict and long-established customs of the beneficiary's foreign culture or social practice, as where marriages are traditionally arranged by the parents of the contracting parties and the prospective bride and groom are prohibited from meeting subsequent to the arrangement and prior to the wedding day. In addition to establishing that the required meeting would be a violation of custom or practice, the petitioner must also establish that any and all other aspects of the traditional arrangements have been or will be met in accordance with the custom or practice.

The regulation does not define what may constitute extreme hardship to the petitioner. Therefore, each claim of extreme hardship must be judged on a case-by-case basis taking into account the totality of the petitioner's circumstances. Generally, a director looks at whether the petitioner can demonstrate the existence of circumstances that are (1) not within the power of the petitioner to control or change, and (2) likely to last for a considerable duration or the duration cannot be determined with any degree of certainty.

The petitioner filed the Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) (Form I-129F) with Citizenship and Immigration Services on February 10, 2005. Therefore, the petitioner and the beneficiary were required, by law, to have met during the period that began on February 10, 2003 and ended on February 10, 2005.

At the time of filing, the petitioner indicated only that he had previously met the beneficiary. He did not state whether a meeting had occurred during the time period just specified, nor did he provide evidence of any meetings. The petitioner also failed to submit documentation of a meeting with the beneficiary in responding to the director's request for evidence. However, on appeal, the petitioner provides a copy of his AirJamaica frequent flyer statement for the period August 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004. Although that statement shows no activity for the August to December 2004 period, it lists the petitioner's previous AirJamaica travel as follows: November 8, 2003, Jamaica to New York; December 5, 2003, New York to Jamaica; June 2, 2004, Jamaica to Florida; and an illegible June 2004 return date to Jamaica. The petitioner's mailing address on the statement is St. Ann, Jamaica, the same town in which the record indicates the beneficiary's resides.

The AAO finds the petitioner's frequent flyer statement to be sufficient evidence that the petitioner and beneficiary met during the specified period of February 10, 2003 to February 10, 2005. Therefore, the petitioner has established his compliance with the meeting requirement of section 214(d) of the Act. The appeal will be sustained. The petition will be approved.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has met that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is sustained. The petition is approved.