U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

b6

[redacted]

FILE: [redacted] Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: DEC 17 2008

IN RE: Petitioner: [redacted]
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

John F. Grissom, Acting Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center, denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

The petitioner is a naturalized citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native and citizen of Korea, as the fiancée of a United States citizen pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition because the petitioner failed to establish that he and the beneficiary had met within the two years immediately preceding the filing of the petition.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(7)(i), which discusses receipt dates, states that an application or petition shall be regarded as properly filed when it is signed and executed and the required filing fee is attached . An application or petition which is not properly signed or is submitted with the wrong filing fee shall be rejected as improperly filed. Rejected applications and petitions will not retain a filing date.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days of service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b). In accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i), an application received in a U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) office shall be stamped to show the time and date of actual receipt, if it is properly signed, executed, and accompanied by the correct fee. For calculating the date of filing, the appeal shall be regarded as properly filed on the date that it is so stamped by the service center or district office.

The record indicates that the director issued the decision on May 17, 2008. On June 12, 2008, the petitioner filed an appeal of that decision; however, the director rejected the appeal because the petitioner failed to include the correct fee. The petitioner resubmitted the appeal with the proper fee on June 23, 2008, or 37 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. An untimely-filed appeal must meet specific requirements to be treated as a motion. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2) requires that a motion to reopen state the new facts to be provided in the reopened proceeding, supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Furthermore, 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3) requires that a motion to reconsider must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or USCIS policy.

Review of the record indicates that the appeal does not meet the requirements of a motion. The evidence that the petitioner presents on appeal does not establish that he and the beneficiary met

within the two years immediately preceding the filing of the petition or that the petitioner should be exempt from such a requirement. On appeal, the petitioner states that, because he and his fiancé have now met since the denial of the petition, he intends to file a new Form I-129F.

As the appeal was untimely filed and the petitioner has failed to provide any new facts or evidence that support a motion to reopen or reconsider, the appeal must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected as untimely filed. The petition is denied.