



D7

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



File: WAC 98 196 52300 Office: California Service Center Date:

APR 13 2001

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(L)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



Public Copy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

Identification data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann
Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center. The matter is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is an import/export company. It seeks authorization to employ the beneficiary temporarily in the United States as in house import manager of its new office. The director determined that the petitioner had not demonstrated that there is a qualifying relationship between the U.S. and foreign entities.

On appeal, counsel states that:

Attached is a brief statement which shall be dealt in detail in the brief on appeal to be submitted within 30 days to AAU

I am unable to submit a brief on appeal at this time of having suffered a majot (sic) colon cancer surgery. I feel tired and breathlessness

I will be able to submit the brief on appeal within 30 days.

Counsel had indicated that the brief and/or additional evidence in support of the appeal would be submitted on or before July 09, 1999. Counsel stated that the extension was necessary due to the complex nature of the issues. To date, no additional evidence has been received.

As no additional information has been provided in support of the appeal, the record must be considered complete.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

On appeal, counsel expresses disagreement with the decision of the director, but fails to address specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.